

LAW OFFICES OF  
KEMPEL, HUFFMAN AND ELLIS  
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION  
255 E. FIREWEED LANE, SUITE 200  
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2025  
(907) 277-1604

STATE OF ALASKA

THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA

Before Commissioners:

Robert M. Pickett, Chairman  
Stephen McAlpine  
Rebecca L. Pauli  
Norman Rokeberg  
Janis W. Wilson

In the Matter of the Request Filed by the )  
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE d/b/a )  
MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER DEPARTMENT for )  
Approval to Establish Depreciation Rates )

U-16-064

In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated as )  
TA357-121 Filed by the MUNICIPALITY OF )  
ANCHORAGE d/b/a MUNICIPAL LIGHT & )  
POWER DEPARTMENT )

U-17-008

**MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO  
PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES' SECOND REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY  
(PHS-MLP-2)**

The Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light & Power ("ML&P"), hereby provides its second supplemental response to Providence Health & Services' ("PHS") second request for discovery. All responses to discovery are prepared by ML&P in consultation with counsel. Witnesses at hearing will be available for cross-examination on their testimony. Documents produced in response to these requests will also be stored an electronic document management sharefile site accessible with login credentials that have been or will be provided as requested to the counsel, analysts, and consultants for PHS, AG, ANTHC, ENSTAR, FEA, and JLP. Documents will accessible in the folder "Shared / ML&P / U-17-008 2015 Rate Case / Discovery / PHS-MLP / PHS-MLP-2 / Production Docs PHS-MLP-2 (4-18-17)."

April 18, 2017

Page 1 of 8

fs\MLP\U-17-008\Disc\PHS-MLP-2\4-18-17

(PHS-MLP-2 2nd Supplement)

Date: 12/08/17 Exh # 1-121  
Regulatory Commission of Alaska  
v 16-094 By: DP U-17-008  
Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc.  
(907) 337-2221

1 REDACTED

2  
3  
4 ...

5 **Request for Admission (No. PHS-MLP-2-72):** Admit that including a  
6 stakeholder review process in the process of preparing an IRP is considered a best practice in the  
7 electric utility industry. If your answer is anything other than an unqualified admission, state  
8 what you believe the truth of the matter to be.  
9

10 **Response:** ML&P admits that a stakeholder review process is generally  
11 considered to be a best practice for developing IRPs; however, a stakeholder review process may  
12 be less important for publicly owned utilities which have governing bodies and advisory boards  
13 comprised of elected or appointed officials from the public, and which have open processes for  
14 the public to provide input.

15 **Person(s) Supplying Information:** Anne Falcon.  
16

17 REDACTED  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO  
26 PHS' SECOND REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY (PHS-MLP-2)

Docket U-17-008/U-16-094

April 18, 2017

Page 5 of 8

ts\ML&P\U-17-008\Discovery\PHS-MLP-18-17