1	STATE OF ALASKA						
2	THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA						
3							
4	BEFORE COMMISSIONERS Robert M. Pickett, Chairman						
5		Stephen McAlpine					
6		Rebecca L. Pauli Norman Rokeberg					
7		Janis W. Wilson					
8	In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated						
9	as TA285-4 Filed by ENSTAR NATURAL GAS COMPANY, A DIVISION OF SEMCO ENERGY, INC.,	Docket No. U-16-066					
10							
11	HOMER ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC. AND ALASKA ELECTRIC ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC.'S RESPONSE TO ENSTAR NATURAL GAS						
12	COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF DIS	COVERY REQUESTS					
13	Pursuant to 3 AAC 48.155 and 3 AAC 48.141-145, Homer Electric						
14							
15	Association and Alaska Electric and Energy Cooperative, Inc. (collectively "HEA")						
16	by and through its counsel Perkins Coie LLP, responds to ENSTAR Natural Gas						
17	Company's (" <i>ENSTAR</i> ") First Set of Discovery F	Company's ("ENSTAR") First Set of Discovery Requests. The following responses					
18 19	are complete based on HEA's current knowledge, information, and belief. If						
20	additional facts, information, evidence, documents, and other matters may be						
21	discovered which are not set forth in these responses, but which may be responsive to						
22	prepared based on HEA's good faith interpretation of the discovery requests, and are						
23 6 227000 25:24							
LLP ing it, Sui 14-557 125.65 22.55	subject to correction for inadvertent errors or omissions, if any. Based on the						
PER Building PSE Building L. Fourth Street, Suite 700 evue, WA 98004-5579 400/ Facsimile 425.635.2400 90 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	foregoing, HEA responds to the ENSTAR's discovery responses as follows:						
PSE I PSE I PSE I PSE N.E. Fourth Bellevue, WA 425.635.1400/ Facsi	HEA's Response to ENSTAR's First Discovery Requests February 21, 2017 Case No. U-16-066 Page 1 of 10	Date: <u>$G-19-17$</u> Exh # <u>$H-95$</u> Regulatory Commission of Alaska By: <u>ApS</u> <u>$U-16-066$</u> Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221					

1	GENERAL OBJECTIONS					
2 3	1. HEA objects to the Instructions and Definitions stated in ENSTAR's					
4	First Discovery Requests to the extent that they conflict with or exceed the					
5	requirements of discovery required under the Regulatory Commission of Alaska's					
6	(the "Commission" or "RCA") rules, and where applicable, Alaska Rules of Civil					
7	Procedure, Alaska Rules of Evidence, and/or other applicable law.					
8	2. HEA objects to requests for documents relating to confidential					
10	settlement negotiations. Any and all answers HEA provides in response to these data					
· 11	requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.					
12	3. HEA objects to the production of documents, calculations, and analyses					
13						
14	that do not exist. A document is not within a party's "possession, custody, or control"					
15	if it does not exist.					
16	4. HEA objects to each and every data request insofar as it is vague,					
17 18	ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, or uses terms that are subject to					
19	multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these					
20	data requests. Any and all answers HEA provides in response to these data requests					
21	will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.					
22	5. HEA objects to each and every data request insofar as it is not					
00 ²³	reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not					
ng ng 1-5579 25.633 25.633	relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding.					
PERKINS COIE LLP The PSE Building 10885 N.E. Fourth Street, Suite 700 Bellevue, WA 98004-5579 425.635.1400/ Facsimile 425.635.2400 70 5 7 5 5 5	HEA's Response to ENSTAR's First Discovery Requests February 21, 2017 Case No. U-16-066 Page 2 of 10					

6. HEA objects to providing information to the extent such information is already a matter of public record. ENSTAR is not entitled to require other parties to gather information that is equally available and accessible to it.

7. HEA objects to each and every data request insofar as it seeks documents or information protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product privilege. Nothing contained in these responses is intended as, or shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of any such privilege or protection, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine. HEA expressly reserves all applicable rights pertaining to inadvertent disclosure of information and materials hereunder, including, but not limited to the right to demand the immediate return, sequestration, or destruction of any such information or materials.

DISCOVERY RESPONSES

ENSTAR-HEA-1-1: Please provide workpapers, notes, and all other supporting documents for Mikel Salzetti's testimony (with electronic workpapers in a Microsoft Excel or a comparable program), and include all formulas and provide sources for all underlying data.

Response: HEA objects to the extent this discovery request seeks or requests the creation of documents not in existence and/ or any documents subject to the attorney-client, work-product, or other privilege. Subject to and

HEA's Response to ENSTAR's First Discovery Requests February 21, 2017 Case No. U-16-066 Page 3 of 10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	without waiving the above objection, Mr. Salzetti relied upon the following				
2	publicly available documents:				
3					
4	1. The Exhibits provided in Mr. Salzetti's direct testimony in U-16-066;				
5	2. Mr. Salzetti's direct testimony in U-14-111;				
6	3. Mr. Amen's direct testimony in U-14-111;				
7	4. Mr. Porter's direct testimony in U-14-111;				
9	5. Tariff Advice Letter 285-4;				
10	6. Mr. Green's direct testimony in U-16-066;				
11	7. Mr. Dieckgraeff's direct testimony in U-16-066;				
12	8. Bruce Fairchild's direct testimony in U-16-066;				
13					
14	9. RCA Order U-15-087(2);				
15	10. Application to Amend Certificate No. 141, Docket No. U-15-034;				
16	11. Testimony of George A. Schreiber, Jr. and the Settlement in Docket Nos.				
17 18	U-09-69/U-09-70;				
18	12.ML&P's 2015 Cost of Power Adjustment (COPA) RCA filings; and				
20	13. MEA's 2015 Cost of Power Adjustment (COPA) RCA filings.				
21	Additionally, Mr. Salzetti relied on the following documents which have been				
22	produced with this response:				
o 00 ²³					
COLE LLP Building I Street, Suite 700 98004-5579 mile 425.635.2400 57 57 57 57 57 579					
COLE LLP Building Street, St 98004-55 mile 425 97 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57					
PERKINS The PSE E. Fourth evue, WA 100/ Facsi	HEA's Response to ENSTAR's First Discovery Requests				
PEF Tho 5 N.E. Bellevu i5.1400	February 21, 2017				
PER The 10885 N.E. Bellevu 425.635.1400.	Case No. U-16-066 Page 4 of 10				
42					

1	1. Confidential Document Corrected Calculation of Impact of CINGSA					
2						
3	 Lateral on KBPL Rate (CONFIDENTIAL Bates No. HEA000001) based upon Confidential Document ENS07537; CINGSA Bypass – Cost to HEA (Bates No. HEA 000002); AltaGas ROE calculations (Bates No. HEA 000003); and 					
4						
5						
6						
7	4. AltaGas Investor Presentation December 2016_Final (Bates No. HEA					
8	000004-000057).					
10	Person(s) Who Supplied Information: Mikel Salzetti.					
10						
12						
13	ENSTAR-HEA-1-2: Please identify with particularity all matters in which					
14	Mikel Salzetti has given testimony or provided an expert report as a witness within the past 15 years and for each matter, please identify and explain the type of matter, identify the testimony he gave and the party on whose behalf he gave it, and produce any testimony or expert report he generated.					
15						
16						
17						
18 19	Response: Please see Mr. Salzetti's testimony at page 3 lines $3 - 5$. This					
20	testimony is publicly available on the RCA website. In addition to these					
21	matters, on behalf of HEA, Mr. Salzetti has also:					
22	a) contributed to a presentation to the RCA in Docket No. I-15-001, which					
00423 0042524	is publicly available on the RCA website;					
.63 .63						
COTE LLP Building h Street, Suite 700 A 98004-5579 simile 425.635.2400 5 5 7 7						
ukins PSE Fourt e, W/ Facs						
۳ 10885 N.L. 1 Bellevu 425.635.1400/	HEA's Response to ENSTAR's First Discovery Requests February 21, 2017 Case No. U-16-066 Page 5 of 10					

Calculation of Impact of CINGSA Lateral on KBPL Rate Based upon KBPL's True Up Filing, TL 28-668

Updated to Show Gas System Consequences

Final Cost of Service	\$ 17,483,409	TL 28-668, P.5
Final Volume	\$9,976,000	TL 28-668, P.4
Final Rate	\$ 0.2915	TL 28-668, P.1

Analysis of CINGSA Lateral Effect:		Volumes 2013	< <	Volumes Est. Annual	٤U)	Valumes 7/1/13-6/30/14 ed in Rate Case)	
Cost of Service	\$	17,483,409	\$	17,483,409	\$	17,483,409	
Unadjusted Volume		59,976,000		59,976,000		59,976,000	
Less CINGSA Utility Withdrawal Volumes		(5,110,000)		(5,500,000)		(6,036,581)	From Rate Case support
Pro Forma Volumes		54,866,000		54,476,000		53,939,419	
Pro Forma Rate	\$	0.3187	\$	0.3209	\$	0.3241	
Pro Forma Rate Change	\$	0.0272	\$	0.0294	\$	0.0326	
Tariff Savings on Withdrawals:							
Withdrawals		5,110,000		5,500,000		6,036,581	
Current rate	\$	0.2915	\$	0.2915	\$	0.2915	
Gross Tariff Rate Savings	\$	1,489,565	\$	1,603,250	\$	1,759,663	
Impact of Pro Forma Change							
CINGSA Utility Customer Injections		5,019,088		5,500,000		3,737,128	
Pro Forma Rate Change	\$	0.0272	\$	0.0294	\$	0.0326	
Increase Trans. Cost on Injections	<u>\$</u>	136,519	\$	161,700	\$	121,830	
Net Savings for Bypass Volumes	\$	1,353,046	\$	1,441,550	5	1,637,833	
Cost increase on KBPL shipments	\$	1,492,355	\$	1,601,594	\$	1,758,425	
SYSTEM NET SAVINGS	\$	(2,790)	\$	1,656	\$	1,238	