STATE OF ALASKA

REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA

Before Commissioners:	T. W. Patch, Chairman Stephen McAlpine Robert M. Pickett Norman Rokeberg Janis W. Wilson
In the Matter of the Investigation into)
Reasonableness of the Requirements Contained)
In Section 114 of Appendix A to the Tariff)
Maintained by the MUNICIPALITY OF)
ANCHORAGE D/B/A MUNICIPAL LIGHT)
AND POWER DEPARTMENT)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

RICHARD BEAM

ON BEHALF OF PROVIDENCE HEALTH AND SERVICES

January 15, 2016

Date: 79 Novi	Exh # <u>H-27</u>
Regulatory Com	nission of Alaska
U-16-094 By:	\$ 4-17-008
Northern Lights Realt	
(907) 33	7-2221

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RICHARD BEAM January 15, 2016 DWT 28729672v2 0027208-000081 U-15-097

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
III.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION FROM U-13-184 RATE CASE
IV.	RESPONSE TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MS. HENDERSON AND MR. SALEBA
V.	RESPONSE TO ML&P'S PROPOSED TARIFF CHANGES
VI.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

.

- 1	customer-owned generating unit.
2	Q50. Does Providence expect that it may need standby power from ML&P for a very
3	short period, in the event of a malfunction of its CHP facility?
4	A50. Yes. Providence currently plans to rely on ML&P standby power for less than a minute,
5	the time it takes for its 8 MW of back-up diesel generation to take over service in the
6	extraordinarily unlikely event that several of its micro-turbines simultaneously malfunction.
7	Q51. What do you mean when you refer to the likelihood of several micro-turbines
8	simultaneously malfunctioning?
9	A51. As Pat Cusick will testify in more detail, our planned CHP facility consists of 15 separate
10	200 kW microturbines. Each microturbine operates independently and can be individually
11	maintained or replaced while the other 14 turbines continue to operate. In other words, even if
12	several microturbines malfunction at the same time, the generating capacity of the CHP declines
13	only incrementally. This is a big advantage over a single, large generating facility that is either
14	operating fully or not at all.
15	Q52. If ML&P succeeded in the future in imposing a standby tariff that takes no account
16	of customer-owned backup generation, how might Providence respond?
17	A52. If ML&P somehow succeeds in imposing an onerous standby charge based on the
18	extraordinarily unlikely event that Providence will need a commercially insignificant amount of
19	electricity for less than a minute, Providence would probably notify ML&P that it does not
20	intend to rely on ML&P for standby power under any circumstances, not even for an instant.
21	Q53. Now let's turn to your second concern. What is that concern?
22	A53. My concern is that ML&P has already taken the position that distributed generation like
	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RICHARD BEAM U-15-097

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RICHARD BE/ January 15, 2016 DWT 28729672v2 0027208-000081 U-15-097 Page 18 of 22