1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STATE OF ALASKA

THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA

Before Commissioners:		Stephen McAlpine, Chairman Rebecca L. Pauli Robert M. Pickett Norman Rokeberg Janis W. Wilson
In the Matter of the Request Filed by the)	
MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE d/b/a)	
MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER DEPARTMENT for)	U-16-094
Approval to Establish Depreciation Rates)	
·		
)	
In the Matter of the Tariff Revisions, Designated as)	
TA357-121, filed by the MUNICIPALITY OF)	U-17-008
ANCHORAGE d/b/2 MUNICIPAL LIGHT &)	
POWER DEPARTMENT)	

MUNICIPAL LIGHT AND POWER'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES' ELEVENTH REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY ON REPLY TESTIMONY (PHS-MLP-11)

The Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light and Power ("ML&P"), hereby provides its second supplemental response to Providence Health & Services' ("PHS") eleventh request for discovery on reply testimony. All responses to discovery are prepared by ML&P in consultation with counsel. Witnesses at hearing will be available for cross-examination on their testimony. Documents produced in response to these requests will also be stored in an electronic document management sharefile site accessible with login credentials that have been or will be provided as requested to the counsel, analysts, and consultants for PHS, AG, ANTHC, ENSTAR, FEA, and JLP.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Discovery in this docket is not complete. As discovery proceeds, facts,

October 10, 2017 Page 1 of 6 fs\MLP\U-17-008\Discovery\PHS-MLP-11Reply\2nd Supp Response Date: 12/08 /7 Exh # 14-120 Regulatory Commission of Alaska V-16-094 By: BP Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

KEMPPEL, HUFFMAN AND ELLIS A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 255 E. FIREWEED LANE, SUITE 200 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99503-2025 (907) 277-1604

REDACTED

Interrogatory (No. PHS-MLP-11-33): At pages 10 through 14 of Mr. Johnston's reply testimony, he says that he could find no record of any customer appearing at any of various public meetings of the ML&P Commission, ML&P Board of Directors, and Anchorage Assembly to discuss the 2009 IRP, 2012 Generation Study, SPP approvals, and Plant 2A approvals. What conclusion does ML&P draw from the fact that none of its roughly 30,000 customers appeared to comment at any of these years of public meetings?

Response: ML&P objects to this request to the extent it is vague and ambiguous and calls for speculation. Without waiving those objections, ML&P has not "drawn any conclusions" from the fact that to the best of Mr. Johnston's knowledge, PHS, FEA, ANTHC, or other customers did not appear at publicly noticed public meetings to provide comment or input regarding ML&P's generation planning.

MUNICIPAL LIGHT AND POWER'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PHS' ELEVENTH REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY ON REPLY TESTIMONY (PHS-MLP-11)

Docket U-17-008/U-16-094

October 10, 2017

Page 4 of 6

fs\ML&P\U-17-008\Discovery\PHS-MLP-11\2nd Supp Response