1	STATE OF ALASKA
2	REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA
3	Before Commissioners: T.W. Patch, Chairman
4	Kate Giard Paul P. Lisankie Robert M. Pickett
5	Janis W. Wilson
6	In the Matter of the Application for ) Authority to Acquire a Controlling )
7	Interest in ENSTAR NATURAL GAS  COMPANY, a Division of SEMCO ENERGY, ) U-12-005
8	INC. and ALASKA PIPELINE COMPANY by ) AltaGas Ltd. and AltaGas Utility )
9	Holdings (U.S.), LLC.
10	In the Matter of the Application for ) Authority to Acquire a Controlling )
11	Interest in COOK INLET NATURAL GAS ) U-12-006 STORAGE ALASKA, LLC by AltaGas )
12	Utility Holdings (U.S), LLC.
13	REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA
14	701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska
15	PUBLIC HEARING
16	May 30, 2012
17	9:02 a.m.
18	BEFORE: JOHN P. WOOD, Administrative Law Judge, RCA
19	APPEARANCES: For SEMCO: ASHBURN & MASON
20	1227 West 9th Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
21	BY: A. WILLIAM SAUPE
22	For AltaGas Ltd. and AltaGas Utility Holdings: GUESS & RUDD, PC
23	510 L Street, Suite 700 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
24	BY: LOUIS R. VEERMAN
25	

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	_	ning Statement for AltaGas ning Statement for SEMCO	6 10
3	Exa	mination of John Lowe by Mr. Veerman	12
4	Exa	mination of Deborah Stein by Mr. Veerman mination of George Schreiber by Mr Saupe	13 16
5	Com	missioner Inquiry	21
6		sing Statement for AltaGas sing Statement for SEMCO	91 92
7			
8	EXH	IBITS:	
9	Т1	Marked/Ad (Prefiled Testimony of John Lowe)	dmitted 12/13
10	Т2	•	13/15
11	Т3	Deborah Stein) (Prefiled Testimony and exhibits of George Schreiber)	16/19
12	н1	(Management's Discussion and Analysis)	29/
13	Н2	(2011 AltaGas Financial Report)	63/64
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(On record - 9:02 a.m.)
3	THE COURT: Good morning. It's
4	approximately 9:00, May 30th, 2012, in the
5	Commission's hearing room in Anchorage, Alaska.
6	This is the time and place set for the public
7	hearing in the matter of the Application for
8	Authority to Acquire a Controlling Interest in
9	Enstar Natural Gas Company, a Division of SEMCO
10	Energy, Incorporated and Alaska Pipeline Company
11	by AltaGas Limited and AltaGas Utility Holdings
12	U.S., LLC. It's given docket number U-12-005.
13	And in the matter of the Application for
14	Authority to Acquire a Controlling Interest in
15	Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC, by
16	AltaGas Utility Holdings U.S., LLC. It's given
17	docket number U-12-006.
18	Present for this proceeding are
19	commissioners Kate Giard and Robert M. Pickett,
20	commission chair T.W. Patch and commissioners
21	Paul F. Lisankie and Janis Wilson.
22	The hearing in this matter was set at
23	the prehearing conference held on March 30th,
24	2012.
25	Now take appearances on behalf of the

- 1 parties.
- 2 MR. SAUPE: Good morning. I'm
- 3 William Saupe with Ashburn & Mason. I'm here on
- 4 behalf of Continental Energy Systems, Inc.
- 5 Continental Energy Systems. With me is the
- 6 chairman of Continental, Mr. George Schreiber;
- 7 and Colleen Starring, the president of Enstar, is
- 8 here. And we thank you for having this hearing
- 9 on this schedule.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Saupe.
- MR. VEERMAN: Good morning, Judge
- 12 Wood. Good morning, commissioners. I'm Dick
- 13 Veerman with the firm of Guess & Rudd, P.C. I'm
- 14 appearing on behalf of the applicants, AltaGas
- 15 Limited and AltaGas Utility Holdings U.S., LLC
- which I'll refer to as AltaGas U.S. as we go
- 17 forward. Seated to my immediate right is John
- 18 Lowe. Mr. Lowe is the president of the utility
- 19 division of AltaGas Limited and he's president of
- 20 AltaGas Utility U.S. Seated to his right is
- 21 Deborah Stein, senior vice president/finance and
- 22 chief financial officer of AltaGas Limited and
- 23 treasurer of AltaGas U.S. Behind me -- seated
- 24 behind me is Jared Green. Mr. Green is vice
- 25 president/controller of AltaGas utility division.

- 1 And seated to his right is Jillian Phan.
- 2 Ms. Phan is director of strategic development of
- 3 AltaGas Utility Division.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Veerman.
- Is anyone hearing an echo as I'm
- 6 talking? I'm getting a little bit of -- all
- 7 right. Sounds good.
- 8 All right. The purpose of today's
- 9 hearing is to allow the parties to introduce
- 10 their prefiled testimony into evidence in these
- 11 dockets and to allow the Commission to ask
- 12 questions about the applications. I will allow
- counsel for both parties to present an opening
- 14 statement. After that we will allow counsel to
- introduce the testimony of their witnesses into
- evidence, will allow each witness the opportunity
- 17 to make a brief statement. We'll then proceed to
- 18 Commission questions. The Commission will ask
- 19 questions of the witness as a panel. At the
- 20 conclusion of the proceeding I will allow counsel
- 21 the opportunity to make a closing statement.
- 22 Are there any other preliminary
- 23 matters or questions to address before we begin?
- 24 Hearing none, Mr. Veerman, I believe
- 25 you're up.

Τ	OPENING STATEMENT
2	MR. VEERMAN: Thank you. Thank you,
3	Your Honor. Good morning, again, Your Honor and
4	Commissioners.
5	These are joint applications of
6	AltaGas and Continental Energy Systems for
7	transfer of control of Enstar Alaska Pipeline
8	Company I'll refer to it as APC and Cook
9	Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska I'll refer to
10	it as CINGSA from Continental to AltaGas. Now
11	this is a simple transaction involving the sale
12	of all of the stock in SEMCO Holding Company to
13	AltaGas U.S.
14	Even though there are many parties in
15	this area with an interest in the ownership and
16	control of Enstar APC and CINGSA no one opposes
17	these applications. I think it's important to
18	note that. The standard for approval of the
19	applications is whether AltaGas is fit, willing
20	and able to acquire control of Enstar and APC and
21	to acquire a majority interest in CINGSA and
22	whether the proposed transaction is in the public
23	interest. The joint applications and the
24	prefiled testimony of Mr. Lowe and Ms. Stein as
25	well as the audited financial statements of

T	Altagas Limited show that Altagas is financially
2	strong and experienced in owning regulated
3	utilities as well as other enterprises in the
4	natural resources and energy industries. For
5	AltaGas this is a long-term investment that is
6	attractive due to the potential for growth of the
7	utilities that are being acquired commensurate
8	with the expected continuing growth of
9	Southcentral Alaska, our growth and development
10	here in this region.
11	AltaGas has the financial resources
12	and the management expertise to support both the
13	current operations and the continuing long-term
14	growth of Enstar APC and CINGSA. AltaGas has
15	gone about the acquisition process in fully in
16	the spirit of a long-term investor in utilities
17	that are critically important to Alaska. Mr.
18	Lowe with Mr. Schreiber have traveled to Kenai
19	and to the Mat-Su Valley to meet personally with
20	employees, and they met in Anchorage with
21	interested parties to provide assurances that
22	AltaGas will work cooperatively with other
23	stakeholders to ensure the long-term success of
24	Enstar, APC and CINGSA.
25	In this process of meeting with other

1	stakeholders to work towards solutions to the
2	energy needs of Southcentral Alaska is a process
3	that will continue because cooperative efforts by
4	all concerned will be needed. The time and
5	effort that Mr. Lowe and others from AltaGas
6	together with Mr. Schreiber and Enstar's
7	management team that they put in the meeting with
8	interested stakeholders to explain that this
9	change of ownership will not in any way detract
LO	from the local utility's continuing focus upon
L1	meeting the requirements of local customers I
L2	think goes a long way toward explaining why no
13	one opposes these applications.
L4	The local utilities will continue to
L5	have access to capital and reasonable terms and
L6	they will continue to be well managed and well
L7	operated. Enstar's local management team and its
L8	operating personnel will not change as a result
L9	of this transaction. AltaGas has met with the
20	Enstar employees to provide those assurances.
21	The change in ownership will be
22	seamless from the standpoint of the local
23	utility's employees and also the customers. It's
24	going to be business as usual. The transaction
25	will not regult in any increase in utility rates

1	No acquisition adjustments or transaction-related
2	costs will appear in the utility's revenue
3	requirements.
4	AltaGas is familiar with economic
5	regulation in other jurisdictions and has worked
6	to become familiar with this Commission's
7	statutes and regulations. AltaGas has received
8	the regulatory approval of this transaction from
9	the Michigan Commission. In Michigan, as in
LO	Alaska, AltaGas has worked cooperatively with
L1	interested parties.
L2	This transaction will benefit utility
L3	customers. It is especially valuable to have an
L4	owner company with the breadth and depth of
L5	natural gas and energy-related experience that
L6	AltaGas brings to the table at a time when such
L7	experience is needed to make wise investments to
L8	ensure that Enstar, APC and CINGSA continue to
L9	supply the long-term energy needs of Southcentral
20	Alaska.
21	We're pleased to have the opportunity
22	to appear before the Commission and Mr. Lowe and
23	Ms. Stein are prepared to respond to any
24	questions the Commissioners may have about the

applications today.

1	Thank you, very much.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Veerman.
3	Mr. Saupe.
4	OPENING STATEMENT
5	MR. SAUPE: Good morning, Judge Wood,
6	Commissioners. I'll be very brief on behalf of
7	Continental Energy Systems.
8	I would endorse the statement that
9	Mr. Veerman just made and I would encourage you
LO	to please approve the certificate transfer for
L1	all of the reasons stated in the applications and
L2	in the prefiled testimony. Mr. Schreiber's
L3	testimony explains in detail why Continental
L4	agreed to this transaction, why it believes that
L5	AltaGas is extremely well qualified to own and
L6	operate Enstar, APC and CINGSA, and why the
L7	transfer of the certificates is in the public
L8	interest.
L9	I know Mr. Schreiber is eager to
20	answer your questions this morning. And finally
21	I'll just remind you that this is probably the
22	last time you'll have him under oath so this is
23	your opportunity to ask all those hard questions.
24	And that concludes my opening
25	statement. And I think the AltaGas witnesses

- will present their testimony first.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Saupe.
- 3 And we have premarked for
- 4 identification purposes the testimony of Mr. Lowe
- 5 and Ms. Stein. Generally we would have the court
- 6 reporter bring a copy of the testimony to the
- 7 witness on the witness stand, however, given the
- 8 physical arrangements this morning, Counsel, I'll
- 9 ask you just serially when your witnesses come up
- 10 to get a copy of the testimony to bring to them.
- 11 MR. VEERMAN: Okay. What -- what I
- 12 did I provided our court reporter with a set and
- the witnesses with a set of the same testimony.
- 14 Do we need to --
- 15 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll give them
- 16 a copy of the official one for the --
- 17 MR. VEERMAN: Okay. All right.
- 18 We'll do that. And I'll give the court reporter
- 19 this set.
- 20 THE COURT: You'll have to bear with
- 21 me for a minute, Mr. Veerman, I'm juggling two
- 22 big notebooks here.
- 23 MR. VEERMAN: All right. Just as a
- 24 matter of procedure, Your Honor, do you want to
- 25 have the witnesses sworn before we introduce the

- 1 testimony or --
- THE COURT: Yes, please.
- 3 MR. VEERMAN: Okay.
- 4 (Mr. Lowe and Ms. Stein sworn under oath.)
- 5 THE COURT: And I guess just for the
- 6 record that was an "I do" from both Mr. Lowe and
- 7 Ms. Stein so you're both under oath now.
- 8 MR. VEERMAN: All right. And I'll
- 9 begin then with Mr. Lowe.
- 10 EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. VEERMAN:
- 12 Q Mr. Lowe, do you have before you a copy of
- 13 the -- of your direct testimony marked for
- 14 identification as Exhibit T1?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Q And do you have that testimony for docket
- 17 U-12-005 as well as U-12-006?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q And is your testimony the same in both
- those proceedings?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And have you reviewed your testimony
- 23 before coming here today?
- 24 A I have.
- 25 Q Do you have any changes or corrections to

- 1 make at this time?
- 2 A No, I don't.
- 4 testimony for purposes of this hearing?
- 5 A I do.
- MR. VEERMAN: Your Honor, with that
- 7 Mr. Lowe is prepared for questioning on his
- 8 testimony. I don't know if this is the
- 9 appropriate time to ask for it to be admitted or
- 10 --
- 11 THE COURT: Yes, it is.
- MR. VEERMAN: All right. I'll ask
- 13 that it be admitted.
- 14 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Saupe?
- MR. SAUPE: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Didn't think so. T1
- is -- T1 is admitted into evidence in docket
- 18 U-12-005. And T1 in docket U-12-006 is likewise
- 19 admitted into evidence in these proceedings.
- 20 MR. VEERMAN: Very well. Thank you,
- 21 Your Honor. I'll proceed to Ms. Stein then.
- 22 EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. VEERMAN:
- Q Ms. Stein, do you have before you copies
- 25 of your prepared direct testimony in the two

- 1 proceedings, 005 and 006?
- 2 A It says 005 here so should I have another
- 3 one that says 006?
- 4 Q I think you should. And --
- 5 A I do.
- 7 identification as T2?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And in both proceedings?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Very well. And do you have exhibits with
- 12 your testimony?
- 13 A I do.
- 14 Q And could you identify and describe those
- 15 exhibits please?
- 16 A So it's the press release dated April 13,
- 17 2012. Exhibit 3. The financing commitment letter.
- 18 Final short form perspectives.
- 19 Q And are those three exhibits the same in
- 20 both of the testimonies in both dockets?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Now with regard to your testimony have you
- 23 reviewed it before coming here today?
- 24 A I have.
- 25 Q And do you have any changes or corrections

- 1 to make at this time?
- 2 A I do not.
- 3 Q And do you adopt this testimony as your
- 4 testimony for purposes of this hearing?
- 5 A I do.
- 6 Q And the exhibits -- do you adopt the
- 7 exhibits as exhibits to your testimony for purposes
- 8 of the hearing?
- 9 A I do.
- 10 Q Very well.
- 11 MR. VEERMAN: And we'll move at this
- 12 time, Your Honor, for admission of exhibit --
- 13 testimony T2 and the accompanying exhibits.
- 14 THE COURT: Any Objection?
- MR. SAUPE: No.
- THE COURT: All right. T2, ten pages
- of testimony and three exhibits, DDS1, DDS2, and
- 18 DDS3 is admitted into evidence in docket
- 19 U-12-005. Similarly T2, the premarked testimony
- of Ms. Stein, ten pages with DDS1, DDS2 and DDS3
- 21 as exhibits is admitted into evidence in docket
- 22 U-12-006.
- 23 And, Mr. Veerman, I'll give you the
- opportunity to allow Mr. Lowe and Ms. Stein to
- 25 give a brief statement if -- if you desire before

1 we move on to questioning. Otherwise, I'll go to

- 2 Mr. Saupe.
- 3 MR. VEERMAN: I think we're prepared
- for them to be questioned. They're ready to move
- on to Mr. Saupe, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Veerman.
- 7 Mr. Saupe.
- 8 And first we'll go ahead and swear in
- 9 Mr. Schreiber.
- 10 (Mr. Schreiber sworn under oath.)
- 11 MR. SAUPE: Just for clarification
- 12 I'm noticing that his testimony in both 05 and 06
- is marked T3. Is that the intent or should it be
- 14 T3 and T4?
- 15 THE COURT: No, T3 in U-12-005 and
- 16 also it's T3 in U-12-006.
- 17 MR. SAUPE: Got it. Okay.
- 18 THE COURT: Yep. I've got 18 pages
- 19 and three exhibits in each docket.
- MR. SAUPE: Good.
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. SAUPE:
- 23 Q Okay. Mr. Schreiber, do you have before
- 24 you a copy of your direct testimony marked T3 in
- 25 docket U-12-005?

- 1 A I do.
- 2 Q And you also have similar testimony
- 3 marked -- also marked T3 in docket number U-12-006?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q Okay. Have you reviewed your testimony
- 6 before coming over here today?
- 7 A Yes, I have.
- 8 Q And do you have any changes or corrections
- 9 that you would like to make?
- 10 A Yeah. There are three or four typos that
- 11 we need to correct as it relates to the exhibits --
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A -- in the body of the testimony.
- 14 Q All right. And that's in docket 006 only;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q All right. And if you'd turn to page 6 of
- 18 your testimony in the CINGSA docket 006. And I'm
- 19 looking at line 10.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A The --
- Q Go ahead.
- 24 A The -- the reference to Exhibit GAS3, the
- 25 3 should be a 2.

1 Q Okay. And at the bottom of the page on

- 2 line 22 does a similar change need to be made?
- 3 A And that would be the same change there as
- 4 well, that the Exhibit GAS3 should be GAS2.
- 5 Q And then on the next page up at line 2.
- 6 A That -- where it says GAS3 on line 2 it
- 7 should read GAS2.
- 8 Q Okay. And finally on page 13 up at the
- 9 top line if you could turn there.
- 10 A Yes, on exhibit -- on page 13, line 1 the
- 11 Exhibit GAS2 should read Exhibit GAS3.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 MR. SAUPE: Just for clarification,
- GAS1 is his -- Mr. Schreiber's resume. GAS2 is
- 15 the organizational chart and GAS3 is the Standard
- and Poor's report on both of the sets of
- 17 testimony. That should fix it.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
- 19 Mr. Saupe.
- 20 And just for my own clarification as
- 21 we're introducing the testimony the -- with those
- 22 corrections is the testimony identical between
- 23 the two dockets?
- MR. SAUPE: Yes. Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you.

- 1 Q (By Mr. Saupe) With those corrections,
- 2 Mr. Schreiber, do you adopt this testimony as your
- 3 testimony for purposes of this proceeding?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q And do you also adopt the exhibits that
- 6 are attached?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 MR. SAUPE: Mr. Schreiber is
- 9 available for Commission questioning.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. Move it into
- 11 evidence and -- is there any objection to
- 12 admitting Mr. Schreiber's testimony into
- 13 evidence?
- MR. VEERMAN: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: All right. T3 in docket
- 16 U-12-005, the prefiled testimony of
- 17 Mr. Schreiber, and T3 in docket U-12-006, the
- 18 prefiled testimony of Mr. Schreiber in both
- dockets consists of 18 pages and three exhibits,
- 20 GAS1, GAS2, and GAS3 is admitted first into
- 21 docket U-12-005 and then into U-12-006.
- Does Mr. Schreiber want to say
- anything before we put him to the question?
- 24 MR. SCHREIBER: I stand by my
- 25 testimony and am prepared to answer questions,

- 1 thank you.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Schreiber.
- 3 All right. I will now turn to the
- 4 Commission to see if they have any questions.
- 5 And one moment.
- 6 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Judge Wood,
- 7 could I just raise a housekeeping question? I
- 8 noticed that poor Ms. Stein is the only person
- 9 who doesn't have a microphone directly in front
- of her. And I know that only one person will be
- 11 testifying at a time but I was just thinking if
- we could rearrange it since those darn things are
- 13 so directional. We found out through hard
- 14 experience that if you're not speaking directly
- 15 into it it can be difficult -- oh, thank you. An
- 16 elegant fix.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Veerman's --
- 18 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: It's just
- 19 suspicious that as the chief financial officer
- 20 that some of the panel members might have
- 21 questions relating to the financial documents and
- Ms. Stein will have her chance to answer them.
- MS. STEIN: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 24 THE COURT: Now Mr. Veerman can kick
- Ms. Stein rather than Mr. Lowe it looks like.

1	7. 1 1	right.	T 547 1 1 1	מזיים מ	turn	+ 0	+ho
L	AII	right.	$\perp$ WTTT	HOM	Lurn	LO	LHE

- 2 Commission for questions. And I will start with
- 3 Commissioner Giard.
- 4 Since we are doing a panel
- 5 arrangement I'm going to ask everybody to try to
- 6 please be respectful of each other speaking.
- 7 Don't try to talk over anyone and let's maybe
- 8 take a pause before you answer the question so
- 9 the -- our court reporter can determine who is
- 10 speaking.
- 11 With that, Commissioner Giard, do you
- 12 have any questions for the panel or any
- individual witness?
- 14 COMMISSIONER GIARD: I'll start with
- 15 Mr. Lowe. Welcome to Alaska, sir.
- MR. LOWE: Thank you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER GIARD: In reading your
- 18 MD&A I noticed that in November of 2011 you had
- 19 75,000 customers. And then you increased it.
- 20 And now you're going about a year later to
- 21 536,000 customers. And I -- I'm a little
- 22 concerned about that rapid growth and I wondered
- 23 if you could speak a little bit to that issue.
- MR. LOWE: Sure. The -- we have
- 25 three wholly owned utilities in Canada, Heritage

1	Gas and AUI in Alberta and then PNG, your
2	neighbor to the south, recently acquired.
3	COMMISSIONER GIARD: Right.
4	MR. LOWE: And that was the increase
5	from 75,000 customers to 115,000 customers. Our
6	model is that the utilities operate on a
7	stand-alone basis. That was the case with PNG
8	and it will be the case with Enstar and SEMCO
9	Michigan. And so it's the same management team,
10	the same staff, the same employees and the same
11	offices performing the same services. So as far
12	as the operations are concerned I don't think
13	that there would be any any change in the way
14	service is delivered.
15	And then in terms of a holding
16	company I'm going to be on an airplane a lot more
17	than I used to be, that's for sure. But I don't
18	I don't think that the additional customers
19	for these two additional utilities is going to
20	overburden us from a holding company perspective.
21	COMMISSIONER GIARD: So on your
22	testimony at page 10, I'll give you a minute to
23	turn to it.
24	MR. LOWE: I'm there, thanks.

25

COMMISSIONER GIARD: So line 9 you

- talk about that you're -- that you're not going
- 2 to be operating these utilities on automatic
- 3 pilot. And so I'm curious how -- what value
- 4 added you're going to bring at the holding
- 5 company level.
- 6 MR. LOWE: Well, we -- we look at the
- 7 holding company level at each utility. And each
- 8 utility has its own challenges and its own
- 9 strengths. And what we try to do is, to the
- 10 extent we can, add value and try to increase the
- ability of the utilities to respond to changes
- 12 we'll do that. It -- you know, you look at
- 13 Atlantic Canada, the Heritage Gas utility, it's a
- green field operation started out in 2003. There
- was no natural gas in Nova Scotia before then.
- And it has a set of challenges which is different
- than, for example, AUI which is a 1957 vintage
- 18 utility. That's quite old by Canadian standards.
- 19 About the same vintage as Enstar up here. But
- 20 it's a more mature operation. And it has a -- it
- 21 has a different approach and focus than the --
- the Nova Scotia utility. And B.C. is, again,
- 23 about a 1960 vintage utility. A little -- a
- little more mature but it has the growth of LNG
- and tying in producers in the Montney. So we

- 1 look at each utility and try to make them
- 2 operationally better if we can, build on their
- 3 strengths if we can. And that's what we would do
- 4 with Enstar and SEMCO Michigan as well.
- 5 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Are you looking
- 6 at consolidating management information systems
- 7 or -- or is that a question for your CFO?
- 8 MR. LOWE: Well, there's nothing like
- 9 that in the works.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay.
- 11 MR. LOWE: As I say, it's a
- stand-alone model is the way we're approaching
- 13 this.
- 14 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay.
- 15 Wonderful.
- So how do you allocate capital needs
- 17 between the utilities at the holding company
- 18 level? You have multiple utilities across five
- or six thousand miles. How do you determine on
- the annual basis how you're going to plan for
- 21 your capital needs?
- MR. LOWE: Well, each -- we do a
- 23 five-year plan. And, you know, it's refreshed
- every five years. And then there's also a
- 25 capital budget process which each of the

1	utilities	gnes	through

- 2 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. So ML&P
- 3 was wondering about a capital improvement plan
- 4 for the Enstar CINGSA. And I imagine you need a
- 5 little time to work that in, but you should be
- 6 working it in right now probably. As soon as the
- 7 transaction closes you'll be thinking about that
- 8 or you've already thought about that?
- 9 MR. LOWE: Well, we've thought about
- it but we will -- we don't want to be too
- 11 presumptuous and we will wait for approval --
- 12 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay.
- MR. LOWE: -- before we can --
- 14 COMMISSIONER GIARD: All right.
- 15 MR. LOWE: -- make any definitive
- 16 plans.
- 17 COMMISSIONER GIARD: And is your
- 18 capital improvement plan is it by operating
- 19 segment, do you do it by utility? How would you
- 20 -- how would you allocate that out so we would be
- able to someday take a look at that and make sure
- 22 that Alaska is getting its fair share?
- 23 MR. LOWE: Well, it -- perhaps
- 24 Ms. Stein could --
- 25 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay.

1	MR. LOWE: answer this. But
2	it's it's a publicly reported company. It's
3	all of its filings are available on SEDAR
4	which is our equivalent of EDGAR. So there's
5	lots of visibility on which segments of the
6	business are providing what types of service,
7	what kinds of capital improvements and Debbie,
8	is there anything that you could add to that?
9	MS. STEIN: On that on that
10	particular issue on a day-to-day basis we
11	allocate capital at an asset level. We are an
12	asset-intensive organization so we spend a lot of
13	time making sure that our assets are maintaining
14	we're maintaining our assets' integrity and
15	make sure that we're not compromising safety and
16	reliability. So that's job number 1. Then when
17	it comes to allocating capital for growth we,
18	like any other shareholder, would allocate
19	capital based on appropriate hurdle rates and all
20	of those typical finance theory sort of capital
21	allocation models.
22	So that's how we would do it on the
23	you know, to give the Commission comfort that
24	we are allocating capital appropriately so that
25	we're not compromising safety and we are meeting

2	businesses and not compromising safety across all
3	of our assets.
4	I do want to go back to the value add
5	question in terms of holding company. We
6	actually have a lot of regulatory expertise at
7	our board level at AltaGas, Ltd. And I believe
8	we have shared those bios with you. So we bring
9	we bring a significant slate of
10	well-experienced individuals, not not just at
11	the utilities which are stand-alone and well run
12	but between our executive team and our board. We
13	believe we bring significant value to all of our
14	utilities by having more than one. There are

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the needs of our customers across all of our

to keep many masters happy with respect to how capital is allocated and how we're managing each utility is on a stand-alone basis. But in terms of management's time we -- we allocate a lot of -- a lot of effort on capital to make sure that all of our businesses are well run.

things that each utility can learn from each

other. We're very mindful of, you know, having

-- having many utilities. We have to -- we have

24 COMMISSIONER GIARD: May I ask a 25 question then: On your utility investments that

1	you	make	and	in	the	allocation	of	capital	between
---	-----	------	-----	----	-----	------------	----	---------	---------

- them, multitude of businesses that you have, are
- 3 the returns for the utility capital investments,
- 4 do they have to go against returns for your gas
- 5 business in order to attract capital from the
- 6 board?
- 7 MS. STEIN: I wouldn't say they have
- 8 to go against them. We look at each -- each
- 9 business, and as a matter of fact, each
- 10 transaction that comes before us with respect to
- 11 the hurdle rates vary differently. Capital gets
- 12 allocated based on risk/reward just like, you
- 13 know, any -- any other organization. So if we
- look for higher returns in one of our other
- businesses it's probably because it comes with
- 16 higher risk. So it does not have to compete or
- 17 butt up against that higher rate because at the
- 18 regulated -- regulated utility business the risk
- is probably lower. So we look at how we allocate
- 20 capital by balancing risk and reward.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GIARD: And I read in
- the MD&A that utilities are expected to
- 23 contribute 40 percent of your EBITDA; was that
- 24 correct?
- MS. STEIN: Which MD&A, as of Q1 or

- last year?
- 2 COMMISSIONER GIARD: The draft 4.6 I
- 3 think it was that you filed with us.
- 4 MS. STEIN: Sorry. So would that be
- 5 --
- 6 THE COURT: It's the 2011
- 7 management's discussion and analysis.
- 8 MS. STEIN: Okay. I just want to
- 9 make sure I'm reading the -- looking at the right
- 10 -- the right document. Pardon me.
- 11 THE COURT: And we should probably go
- 12 ahead and mark that as an exhibit, Mr. Veerman.
- MR. VEERMAN: Okay. Identify it --
- MS. STEIN: Commissioner Giard, can
- 15 you --
- 16 COMMISSIONER GIARD: I'm going to
- 17 pull it up right now. It'll take me just about
- 18 as long as it'll take you.
- MR. VEERMAN: It's the management's
- discussion and analysis, we have that right here.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Bob, can I
- 22 borrow yours?
- 23 THE COURT: It's the copy that you
- 24 filed on March 30th, 2012.
- 25 We're going to go ahead and mark that

1 as a hearing exhibit. And this will be marked as

- 2 H1.
- 3 MS. STEIN: These are the --
- 4 Mr. Veerman, these are the financial statements
- 5 not the MD&A. So this is what I'm --
- 6 MR. VEERMAN: This is -- this is the
- 7 MD&A.
- 8 MS. STEIN: Yes.
- 9 MR. VEERMAN: And they just marked
- that as H1.
- 11 THE COURT: So I'm marking for
- identification purposes as H1, a document with
- the title of management's discussion and
- 14 analysis. Down in the bottom right hand corner
- of the first page it says AltaGas, Ltd-2011 draft
- 16 4.6 page 1. I've got pages 1 through 55. And
- one moment.
- 18 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Judge Wood,
- 19 again on housekeeping, I just handed Commissioner
- 20 Giard a copy that I had which I don't think
- 21 necessarily corresponds a lot -- is page by page
- 22 with what's been previously submitted. And I
- think it might be because we asked the utilities
- 24 to file something before it was finalized. And
- 25 --

- 2 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: -- our staff
- 3 has given us I think your finalized file 2011
- 4 financials. So --
- 5 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Right. It's
- 6 page 8 of the MD&A that was filed, 2011 financial
- 7 reports. It says consolidated outlook.
- 8 MS. STEIN: I have it here,
- 9 Commissioner.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay.
- 11 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: So however we
- deal with that -- I just didn't want to take us
- down into a pagination rabbit hole. I was trying
- 14 to be helpful but then it dawned on me as I
- looked at Commissioner Wilson's version of the
- earlier one that I'm not sure it's on exactly the
- 17 same page. So I just thought maybe -- call that
- 18 question right now.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 20 Lisankie. I jumped the gun on H1 and we'll just
- 21 strike that discussion I think.
- 22 So we are referring to the
- 23 management's discussion and analysis that's in
- 24 AltaGas' -- maybe you can just tell me what this
- is, Ms. Stein, and that'll --

- 1 MS. STEIN: Sorry. In the -- in the
- 2 AltaGas financial report.
- 3 THE COURT: Do you have copies of
- 4 that, Mr. Veerman?
- 5 MR. VEERMAN: I have copies but we
- 6 just marked a copy, the one we filed. But
- 7 subsequent to the one, no.
- 8 THE COURT: So if you could just
- 9 clearly describe what the document is and the
- 10 page you're referring to this time.
- 11 MS. STEIN: It is the 2011 AltaGas
- 12 financial report of AltaGas, Ltd.
- 13 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Thank you, very
- much Commissioner Lisankie. I would've taken us
- down a rabbit hole on the draft version.
- But on page 8 is where the statement
- is that the utility business is expected to
- 18 contribute approximately 40 percent of AltaGas's
- 19 EBITDA.
- MS. STEIN: Correct.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GIARD: So when I read
- 22 that respective statement and I look at the
- 23 allocation of capital I would like you to just
- 24 kind of talk to me about that relationship.
- MS. STEIN: Sorry, which

1	relationship?
2	COMMISSIONER GIARD: The relationship
3	between the capital the potential amount of
4	capital going to the utilities for investment and
5	safety versus the contribution to EBITDA.
6	MS. STEIN: Okay. Currently without
7	spending a lot of time on our investor relations
8	and our strategic plan I will say that the the
9	capital that is currently being anticipated to be
10	spent for the utility business supports the needs
11	of the business. We will be presenting our
12	strategic plan to our board next week. And in
13	that plan there is on an annual basis we
14	expect all of our utilities to spend. And that's
15	based on the plan based on what the presidents
16	have all have all supported or submitted up to
17	corporate, about \$100 million on an annual basis
18	outside of any of our big growth projects. So
19	today there's lots of growth capital that we're
20	spending between 2012 and 2014. And after 2014
21	right now the utility segment is actually the
22	only the only segment that has actually been
23	pre-allocated capital.
24	COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. And how
25	does that do you know how that spend compares

- 1 to the combined SEMCO spend?
- MS. STEIN: It's about 50 percent of
- 3 it.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GIARD: It's 50 percent
- of the SEMCO spend?
- 6 MS. STEIN: Yes.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. Does that
- 8 exclude further investments in CINGSA or --
- 9 MS. STEIN: It does.
- 10 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. So that
- is your -- \$100 million is maintenance and safety
- 12 issues?
- MS. STEIN: And -- and meeting
- our customers' needs.
- 15 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. So that's
- 16 --
- 17 MS. STEIN: Organic -- organic-grade
- 18 base growth.
- 19 COMMISSIONER GIARD: As I understand
- it that money then doesn't have to compete with
- 21 your hurdle rate for growth money?
- MS. STEIN: Right.
- 23 COMMISSIONER GIARD: So another, for
- 24 example, gas storage facility in Cook Inlet would
- 25 be required to compete against the hurdle rate of

1	vour	other	businesses?

- 2 MS. STEIN: It would be evaluated
- 3 against other -- other projects and managed with
- 4 risk/reward.
- 5 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. I
- 6 understand. Thank you.
- 7 I don't want to -- I have other
- 8 questions but I think it's probably a good idea
- 9 to pause and let other commissioners -- I can't
- 10 wait for Mr. Schreiber. My last opportunity,
- 11 we've had such a long history together.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 13 Giard.
- 14 Commissioner Pickett, do you have any
- 15 questions?
- 16 COMMISSIONER PICKETT: I will
- 17 probably do mine in phases also. But I think my
- 18 first question should be directed to Mr. Lowe.
- I appreciate the fact you're up here
- 20 and I would like to understand your business. It
- 21 appears to be a very well-diversified utility,
- gas transmission. And where I'm not particularly
- 23 clear is it sounds like you get to the gathering
- 24 field level, so does your activity stop at the
- 25 wellhead? Is that sort of how your division is?

1	MR. LOWE: That's right. We don't					
2	engage in exploration and production.					
3	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Okay. But you					
4	have been quite involved with storage?					
5	MR. LOWE: Yes.					
6	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Have you					
7	explored the LNG aspect of things in terms of					
8	either I realize Canada, you just recently					
9	have approved the Kitimat facility, so sometime					
10	in the next few years that'll probably be coming					
11	on. Is that something that AltaGas has interest					
12	or involvement with?					
13	MR. LOWE: Yeah, our Pacific Northern					
14	Gas in British Columbia, the management team					
15	there actually developed the Pacific Trails					
16	Pipeline which is going to be the pipeline supply					
17	for an LNG export facility in Kitimat. And they					
18	also have a customer using existing capacity LNG					
19	partners which is a small-scale LNG export					
20	facility which is being built while in Houston					
21	and then barged up to Kitimat. So they're right					
22	in the middle of that whole LNG commotion down					
23	there.					
24	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Okay. It is					
25	somewhat a controversial issue in Alaska but it's					

1	one of those things that given the current state
2	of the legacy fields in Cook Inlet and I'm
3	sure that through your vetting possess and due
4	diligence you're well aware from Mr. Schreiber
5	and the Enstar management of the challenges we're
6	facing in the immediate future here. And at some
7	point decisions will need to be made as to
8	whether to proceed for some period of time down
9	that path. And we've had the electric utilities
10	in here sharing their concerns in a variety of
11	public meetings. And I think the concerns that
12	the Commission has is just a lot of the timing
13	issues and sort of how something of this nature
14	would be presented to the Commission. We had to
15	act on a very expedited schedule for the CINGSA
16	project. And I think it's probably all of our
17	hope is if this is something that is going to be
18	happening to keep the Commission as informed as
19	possible and just be cognizant that when we are
20	faced with filings of the type we've never dealt
21	with before timing is quite important.
22	This is a very different market than
23	what you're used to in Canada. In my four years
24	on NARUC's natural gas committee I've had the
25	pleasure of getting to know Chairman Caron guite

1	well. And he recruited me for a panel at a
2	presentation a few years ago. And does speak
3	quite highly of AltaGas as a well-regarded and
4	respected company in Alberta and Canada.
5	But just in terms of, you know, how
6	you're seeing it you're going to have to kind of
7	hit the ground running I guess. And because
8	the local management, if I'm understanding you,
9	will consider in their day-to-day operations
10	negotiating natural gas supply agreements for the
11	utility. But I do think Commissioner Giard's
12	line of questioning was hitting on some pretty
13	important things. With the spectrum of
14	activities that AltaGas is involved with, your
15	hurdle rates are going to be very, very different
16	depending on the types of project. And I'm
17	presuming when you evaluate, say, storage kind of
18	capital expenditures they will be viewed with an
19	eye to other AltaGas storage projects either in
20	Michigan or Canada. When you get into, say, the
21	LNG-type issue, I'm not do you have any
22	thoughts on that?
23	MR. LOWE: Well, on we are
24	familiar with the situation in Cook Inlet in
25	declining production. And we've thought about

1	what the solutions could be. And I think CINGSA
2	is part of the solution and a success story of
3	the Commission being able to work quickly and all
4	the stakeholders pulling together for something
5	that makes a lot of sense. The prospect of CNG
6	or LNG I say compressed natural gas shipping
7	or liquefied natural gas shipping to meet
8	perhaps as a temporary measure between the time
9	the bullet line might be built for example or
10	when other production of Cook Inlet comes online.
11	That's something we've thought about and we've
12	looked at. It's 850 nautical miles from Prince
13	Rupert up to Anchorage, and that's within the
14	sweet spot of LNG shipping and CNG shipping, and
15	could be even more economic as a way to deliver
16	volumes.
17	These are early days. And this is
18	clearly something that Enstar and all of the
19	stakeholders, the utilities would need to work
20	together on with you. What I think we bring to
21	the table is we we deal with producers all the
22	time in our business. We have contracts with
23	over 300 producers. Calgary is an energy town,
24	it's kind of like Houston north. And it's in our
25	hlood We also have as Debbie said our board

	1	And	it's	in	Exhibit	K	in	the	application.	Υοι
--	---	-----	------	----	---------	---	----	-----	--------------	-----

- 2 can go through the short biographies of the board
- members. And they're energy and utility
- 4 businesses all the way. So there's a lot of
- 5 institutional knowledge of the company.
- And then I think the proximity of our
- 7 Pacific Northern Gas Utility might be another
- 8 synergy that could help.
- 9 But these are early days. And I
- 10 absolutely hear what you're saying about, you
- 11 know, not confronting you with filings at the
- 12 last minute. We'll want to -- we'll want to work
- 13 together with you and communication is important
- 14 to us.
- 15 COMMISSIONER PICKETT: I think it's
- fair to say that we in Alaska are quite envious
- of the rather robust market you do have with
- 18 producers and suppliers just in the support
- industry in Canada.
- In Cook Inlet we're sort of going the
- 21 other direction in that with the recent
- acquisition of Marathon's assets by Hill Corp.
- 23 And Hill Corp is a -- by all reports I've seen a
- very well regarded and aggressive smaller
- 25 producer of -- with what it's done in the Gulf.

1	And but the fact is Enstar will have with the
2	current contracts a 90 percent-plus dependency
3	after the acquisition process is completed with
4	one supplier. And I there is no easy button
5	to deal with that. That's just, you know, what
6	sort of what the lay of the land is right now.
7	Hopefully with some of the smaller producers
8	getting into it and Apache's picked up a bunch of
9	leases and there are a lot of positive things
10	happening. But, again, it sort of gets into this
11	timing sort of issue. So hopefully your outreach
12	to Hill Corp. is well underway and you'll be
13	making movement on there.
14	I'd like to turn to Ms. Stein for a
15	couple of questions here. I cannot claim to be
16	any sort of an expert with regard to how
17	regulation actually works in Canada, particularly
18	the division between the National Energy Board
19	and provincial authorities. And I can see some
20	similarities between, like, the FERC and the RCA.
21	But it does appear there's some fairly
22	significant differences also. You know, your
23	terminology's different. When it comes to
24	pipelines you hear a lot about tolls, traffic

25

this kind of stuff.

1	So would you care to address that in
2	your researching or regulatory regime versus what
3	you're used to operating under in Canada and sort
4	of how that you got a little taste of U.S.
5	regulation in Michigan so
6	MS. STEIN: Do you want to take that
7	one, John? I think you should. Sorry,
8	Commissioner.
9	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: That's fine.
10	MR. LOWE: I'll just chime in. I see
11	the regulatory regimes in British Columbia,
12	Alberta and Nova Scotia as quite similar to the
13	U.S. public utility regulation. And I think that
14	the legislative genesis of these statutes is the
15	Federal Power Commission Act. And that kind of
16	got transposed into the Railway Act in Canada and
17	the National Energy Board Act and then branched
18	out into the various province. And so the
19	regulatory compact as we understand it and the
20	requirement for just and reasonable rates and no
21	discrimination, those are quite similar across
22	Canada and the U.S. In fact, sometimes the
23	differences from province to province or state to
24	state can be no different than the differences
25	between the countries looking looking broadly.

1	So I don't think there's there's
2	much of a difference. We've looked at your
3	statute. We've looked at your decisions. We've
4	had Mr. Veerman help us understand the way things
5	work up here. And there's some differences but
6	it's it feels like home to us. It's very
7	close to what we're used to.
8	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Okay. And one
9	final question I do believe this'll be
10	addressed to Ms. Stein is: In reading through
11	the materials that have been filed with us it
12	does appear that AltaGas uses hedging as a form
13	of and I'm not sure how extensive that is in
14	terms of financial hedges or actual physical
15	hedges and this type of thing. We, couple of
16	years ago, were petitioned to consider opening a
17	hedging rule making docket. And after looking at
18	that and circumstances we're looking at up here
19	we declined to do it at this point in time. So I
20	guess my question is: Do you hedge primarily at
21	the holding company level or does it get down to
22	the individual utility operations, or just how do
23	you and how extensively do you use hedging?
24	MS. STEIN: We use hedging to
25	mitigate the impact of commodity price

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

1	volatility. We don't speculate. So we don't
2	keep open positions. Our hedging activity is
3	mostly in our power business and in our
4	processing business to the extent that we are
5	exposed to frac spreads. And frac spreads, as
6	you may know, is the difference between liquids
7	and natural gas if this is the frac spread.
8	It is done primarily at the holding
9	company level. All of our hedges actually sit at
10	the Ltd. level because that's where your
11	counter-parties are looking for credit guarantees
12	when you are undertaking hedging activity. So it
13	really when we
14	If you look at our financial reports,
15	and I'm sure Commissioner Giard has looked at
16	this, you'll see that when we report our hedge
17	gains and losses we actually do it at the
18	corporate segment level. We do not push those
19	hedge gains and losses down to the business level
20	because that's not how we look at how that's
21	not how we look at what's going on in the
22	underlying assets. So we use hedging at the
23	corporate level. That allows us to be able to go
24	out to the marketplace and say, you know, AltaGas
25	manages its whatever exposure we have to

- 1 commodities. We manage it in a manner that
- 2 allows us to say to the shareholders, we're
- 3 providing stable earnings, which is really our
- 4 value proposition to our shareholders.
- 5 COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Okay. Thank
- 6 you. That's all I have right now.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 8 Pickett.
- 9 Commission Lisankie.
- 10 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: I guess I
- 11 need to be a chairman someday so I get skipped
- 12 over.
- 13 Mr. Lowe, it's nice to see you,
- 14 again. We met briefly once before. And,
- 15 Ms. Stein, it's nice to meet you from a distance
- 16 here.
- I guess I just want to ask a few
- 18 questions that have to do with planning without,
- 19 as you say, forcing you to get ahead of the
- 20 question which I'm compelled to note for myself
- 21 is whether you -- we transfer control over our
- local utilities to you. And so I agree with you
- that there's only so much that we can expect you
- to be doing without looking presumptuous.
- 25 So I just wanted to test back. There

1	was as Commissioner Giard noted there was an
2	initial comment filed by Municipal Light and
3	Power. And it I think it it set out in
4	broad strokes some of the concerns that you're
5	hearing from this dais. And I can only imagine
6	that our situation up here is somewhat different
7	than the situation that you faced in Michigan.
8	And consequently that the type of questions
9	you're getting from us are a little less oriented
LO	towards the specifics of the of the
L1	proposition that you're sponsoring and veering
L2	off a little bit into "What are you going to do;
L3	what are you going to do?"
L4	But I just want to test back. In
L5	reading your comments your reply to the
L6	comments, my sense was that you were saying
L7	and I guess I would agree with this that we
L8	know that there are planning challenges. There
L9	are so many planning challenges that they're
20	difficult to address succinctly until some of the
21	questions go either left or they go right. I
22	mean, there are so many questions that it would
23	be difficult for anybody to say I have an answer
24	to your numerous questions. But the sense I was
25	getting is that not that you don't plan shead but

1	that it was just premature at this point to try
2	and address a plan. Is my sense correct? Is
3	that what you were intending to portray to us and
4	to the commenter?
5	MR. LOWE: Yes, I believe that you've
6	got to get ahead of gas supply, and you've got
7	to you've got to plan for it and you've got to
8	execute on that plan. And I think that there
9	this will be something that will turn our
10	attention to full force if we receive approval.
11	The and I can't claim here to have
12	the answer or even know what all the moving parts
13	are or what has to be done, but it I think it
14	starts with outlining all of the options that are
15	available, what the timing parameters are for
16	each option and how to pursue each option which
17	is going to get the best result for customers.
18	And trying to get forge a consensus among all
19	the stakeholders, because it's not just Enstar,
20	it's the other utilities as well and it's you
21	know, it's other producers, it's it's the
22	Commission.
23	And one and this is stepping out
24	into more presumption but one thing I would hope
25	that we could avoid is this paralysis by analysis

1	that can happen when you've got a problem which
2	has a lot of moving parts to it. And and it's
3	sometimes it's said the best is the enemy of
4	the good. And trying to plan for the best
5	outcome can kind of stop you from pursuing
6	something that's reasonable and that you can
7	achieve quickly. Now that's and that's
8	that's just a personal observation I have. And I
9	think it it gets back to the point that you've
LO	got to get ahead of gas supply. Got to move
L1	quickly on it. And we will we will be there.
L2	We'll do our best.
L3	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: That's
L4	certainly a good answer. One that I'm hoping to
L5	help you with if we approve your acquisition.
L6	But just just on the nutsy-boltsy
L7	side of things, I've only been on this Commission
L8	for a little over three years. And in regard to
L9	gas because it's so integral to the other
20	utilities since like our electric utilities
21	are gas oriented for fuel. I guess that's I
22	haven't had a chance to take a deep breath in
23	three years. And I'm sure that Mr. Schreiber and

mean, they've probably been breathing deeply for

1	different reasons. It seems like it's been a
2	very, very active time here in Cook Inlet for
3	everything that's related to the use of natural
4	gas. And I guess I want to hear you say out loud
5	that you're very well aware of that and that you
6	institutionally as a company going up the chain
7	of Alta are aware of the fact that I kind of
8	sit on pins and needles when it comes to the gas
9	industry in Cook Inlet right now.
LO	MR. LOWE: Yeah, this was gas
L1	supply at Cook Inlet was a fundamental issue in
L2	our investment decision. And we walked around it
L3	for quite a while and tried to comfort ourselves
L4	that there was an answer, there is a solution
L5	that we can participate in because that's the
L6	heart of the investment. So we were very
L7	concerned about it. And I don't mean concerned
L8	in that we don't think there's a solution, we
L9	think there is, but we're very committed to
20	solving this problem. I can't say that we're as
21	committed as you are who bear the public interest
22	but our attention is there a hundred percent.
23	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Okay. And I
24	would just like to hear you say out loud that
25	you're aware, my words. I don't impugn anybody

- 1 else with this, but there are political interests
- 2 at play as far as what gas is developed, what gas
- is shipped, who does it. And I -- I'm sure that
- 4 before you made the commitment, this acquisition,
- 5 that you apprise yourselves of that, in terms of
- 6 Alaska I'm talking about.
- 7 MR. LOWE: To the best -- the best we
- 8 were able to and we had some talented people
- 9 helping us, we did.
- 10 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Okay. The
- 11 reason I bring that up is because interestingly
- when you say that sometimes the best is the enemy
- of the good I certainly understand that, but I
- just want to make sure that we both are
- 15 understanding that sometimes neither the best nor
- the good are the one that's being pushed.
- 17 MR. LOWE: Reminds me of a Clint
- 18 Eastwood movie. The -- not to get into spaghetti
- 19 westerns but the -- it -- I think this is the
- 20 dynamic of a complex situation where you have a
- 21 bunch of people with different interests. And
- it's -- you know, you need to make some
- 23 compromises, you need to always push forward
- 24 with -- with what is the right answer and what is
- 25 the achievable and practical answer. And the

- 1 rest -- the political motivations and the
- 2 economic motivations that people have, you've got
- 3 to work through those. I don't think there's any
- 4 stock way of solving those problems. You just
- 5 have to be aware of them and work through them.
- 6 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Right. No, I
- 7 tend to agree with you. It's -- I'll settle for
- 8 awareness. And like I said, I can only assume
- 9 that that was part of your calculus because we do
- 10 live in a real world. We don't live in a
- 11 theoretical world.
- 12 And anyhow I was going to ask
- 13 Ms. Stein this but in looking over your CV I
- 14 think that you probably qualify for kind of a
- 15 black belt in regulatory law which of course is
- 16 --
- 17 MR. LOWE: You say that like it's a
- 18 good thing.
- 19 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Well, I was
- going to say as a lawyer I'm probably -- you
- 21 know, we have -- we have several lawyers at the
- dais here which is somewhat unusual. And we're
- 23 probably the only people that do see that as a
- 24 good thing.
- 25 I just wanted to ask you following up

1	on what Commissioner Pickett was saying about
2	returns. My sense is that in Canada there's been
3	some movement to kind of box up a
4	one-size-fits-all return on equity, and then less
5	of a case-centric focus on that. Is that is
6	that correct? Is that still going on?
7	MR. LOWE: Yeah, the refer to it
8	as generic cost of capital. And you set a base
9	level of return on capital structure and then
10	maybe do some adjustments along the way. We've
11	had many hearings on that in Alberta and we had
12	one rate of return expert from the U.S., Phil
13	VanDerWage, who said, look, it's really not that
14	hard to do rate of return for an individual
15	company. In the U.S. we do a couple of days and
16	that'll deal with rate of return and is it really
17	worth the complexity of trying to get this God in
18	the machine generic cost of capital formula which
19	tends to break down over time anyway. So, yes,
20	that's something that generic cost of capital
21	is something that you see in Canada, it's not in
22	every jurisdiction. And it's been it's had
23	mixed success I would say.
24	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: I think I may
25	have a few more questions after I reflect for a

- 1 moment. But at this point I'd just like to,
- 2 again, welcome you and pass the question stick.
- 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 4 Lisankie.
- 5 Commissioner Wilson?
- 6 COMMISSIONER WILSON: I take it that
- 7 we're not going to ask Mr. Schreiber anything
- 8 until we go back to Commissioner Giard.
- 9 THE COURT: Since he's escaped so far
- 10 that's my intention to finish up Mr. Lowe and
- 11 Ms. Stein, take a brief break, come back with any
- 12 questions we might have for them and move on to
- 13 Mr. Schreiber.
- 14 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Good morning,
- 15 Ms. Stein.
- MS. STEIN: Good morning.
- 17 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Good morning,
- 18 Mr. Lowe.
- 19 I assume that in your due diligence
- 20 you have discovered that Enstar and its
- 21 predecessors over the years has had a very good
- 22 reputation in this state for bringing projects in
- on budget or underbudget and on time or before
- 24 time. And I want to make sure that you take note
- of that and that I can have some assurance for

1	you	that	that	wonderful	aspect	of	Enstar	will
---	-----	------	------	-----------	--------	----	--------	------

- 2 continue under your rule.
- MR. LOWE: Well, I would say that we
- 4 -- we did recognize that and I think that
- 5 CINGSA's the most recent example of Enstar's
- 6 ability to execute. That's something that we try
- 7 to do in all our lines of business and in our
- 8 utilities as well. So we admire what Enstar's
- 9 done and we'll certainly try to ensure that it
- 10 continues. And -- and we could learn a few
- things for our own projects in Canada as well.
- 12 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Good. So I
- hope you'll be listening to Mr. Slaughter and
- 14 Ms. Starring and Mr. Dieckgraeff and the other
- people that have run Enstar so well over the
- 16 years when you -- when you start to take over if
- in fact we do approve the transfer.
- 18 MR. LOWE: Absolutely.
- 19 COMMISSIONER WILSON: And Enstar has
- 20 also had a good reputation working with our
- 21 finance staff and our engineering staff and also
- 22 with our consumer protection staff and I want you
- 23 to be aware of that. And I want you to make sure
- that you understand how that's happened over the
- 25 years and try to also continue with that good

- 1 aspect of the Enstar -- that they have had a good
- 2 relationship with us. I have been involved with
- 3 regulation at this Commission as Mr. Mason knows.
- 4 Mr. Mason was not introduced earlier, but
- 5 Mr. Mason has had a good long involvement with
- 6 Enstar's relationship with the Commission over
- 7 the years. And I just want to make sure that
- 8 that continues.
- 9 MR. LOWE: We -- we certainly expect
- 10 that it will and we'd like to be part of the
- 11 communication as well.
- 12 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So I think
- 13 Alaska and Canada have a very great affinity for
- each other. And I think it's probably a good
- 15 match for Canadians to have something to do with
- 16 Alaska in this aspect. And I -- however, you are
- 17 not under U.S. law so I guess one of the
- 18 hesitations that we have is to make sure that the
- 19 fact that you are Canadian and governed under
- 20 Canadian law is not something that ends up
- 21 being -- not benefiting us. So I'm personally
- 22 not familiar with Canadian regulation at all.
- 23 And I think you've assured us that it's very
- similar in the previous questions. And you
- 25 talked about the fact that you're a publicly --

- 1 you have public disclosure within Canada. And,
- 2 you know, we're familiar of course with the EDGAR
- 3 system but you mentioned there is some other
- 4 system that you -- that we can access your
- 5 information with in Canada. So I would just like
- 6 you to talk a little bit more about that.
- 7 MR. LOWE: Maybe I'll pass that over
- 8 to Ms. Stein who's more familiar with financial
- 9 matters.
- 10 MS. STEIN: So on our financial
- 11 reporting requirements the regulations are not
- very dissimilar from the United States. We have
- 13 the requirement to -- to disclose to our
- shareholders everything that's material, fair and
- 15 open disclosure. And so we -- we do our best to
- 16 communicate effectively to all readers of our
- 17 financial disclosure. We do have a -- a filing
- 18 system similar to EDGAR, it's called SEDAR. And
- 19 I know they told me yesterday what the letters
- 20 stand for but I'm not sure I would remember it
- 21 now. It's -- I can't remember what -- oh, System
- 22 of Electronic Delivery and Review -- Analysis and
- 23 Review. Something like that. But it is -- it is
- very similar to the -- to the EDGAR filings.
- 25 Our securities commission, you may or

1	may	not	be	aware,	we	do	not	have	а	national

- 2 securities commission the way you have the SEC in
- 3 the U.S. We have provincial securities
- 4 commissions. There's been lots of dialogue over
- 5 many, many years of moving to a federal
- 6 securities commission, that's not really a move
- 7 forward. But all of the disclosures by each of
- 8 the provincial commissions that govern the
- 9 business of AltaGas have very similar reporting
- 10 obligations. And, you know, even -- even without
- 11 the commissions telling us what to say the bottom
- 12 line is we -- we take our -- our corporate
- governance and our accountability to our
- shareholders and our customers and our employees
- 15 quite seriously. So where we can without
- 16 disclosing, you know, competitive information and
- things like that we -- we try to be as open -- as
- open as we can in our financial disclosure.
- 19 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So what
- 20 provinces do govern your securities?
- 21 MS. STEIN: Well, each province has a
- 22 commission. But we're -- we are across the board
- 23 so we -- we would -- we would have to comply with
- 24 --
- 25 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So you would

1 register your securities with all the Canadian

- 2 provinces?
- 3 MS. STEIN: Yes. Yes. Including --
- 4 you know, including Quebec so all of our
- 5 documents have to be translated into French.
- 6 COMMISSIONER WILSON: And I noticed
- 7 that in your subscription receipt prospectus you
- 8 do not distribute in the United States.
- 9 MS. STEIN: We do not.
- 10 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So --
- MS. STEIN: Well, no, we do
- distribute. We can sell in the United States.
- We are not listed on the New York Stock Exchange.
- 14 So we have U.S. shareholders who can -- who can
- buy our securities. We just -- we -- we're just
- not on the New York Stock Exchange. So we're not
- 17 registered with the SEC.
- 18 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So you're on
- 19 the Toronto stock exchange?
- 20 MS. STEIN: Correct.
- 21 COMMISSIONER WILSON: And I'm not
- familiar with their rules either, but I assume
- 23 they have similar rules to the United States
- 24 stock exchanges.
- MS. STEIN: Yes.

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

1	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Now on another
2	subject, back to gas supply. This would be for
3	Mr. Lowe. We have not had any talk in this state
4	that I've heard, and maybe it's just that I don't
5	get out that much, but about the possibility of
6	CNG as opposed to LNG as a short-term solution to
7	our gas supply shortage in the near term. So I'm
8	wondering whether you could expand on that,
9	that'll
10	MR. LOWE: Sure. I'll try. The LNG
11	involves the phase change, the liquefaction of
12	natural gas. You chill it down to 160 degrees
13	and compress the natural gas by a factor of 600.
14	COMMISSIONER WILSON: And therefore
15	is more expensive than the other?
16	MR. LOWE: That's that's exactly
17	right. And with CNG you would compress the
18	natural gas to 3,000 pounds per square inch and
19	compress it by a factor of 300. And so if you're
20	shipping very long distances it makes sense to
21	use LNG and bite the bullet for the liquefaction
22	expense. But for shorter distances, under, say,
23	1,500 miles, it can be more economic to use CNG
24	shipping, compressed natural gas shipping.
25	You look at just on a map it's 850

1	nautical	miles	from	Prince	Rupert	to	Anchorage.

- 2 That's in the sweet spot for compressed natural
- gas shipping. And so it might be a way to
- 4 minimize expense and perhaps begin deliveries a
- 5 little sooner than you'd have to if you -- if you
- 6 had to build more onshore facilities.
- 7 So that's the concept. And then, you
- 8 know, what sort of shipping schedule you do and
- 9 continuous loading, those are issues that you
- 10 have to work through. I will say that having the
- 11 storage at CINGSA really helps a lot for a -- for
- 12 a compressed natural gas shipping option.
- 13 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So the
- infrastructure required for importing CNG is
- 15 considerably less expensive than that for LNG?
- MR. LOWE: It's minimal. It's
- 17 minimal onshore facilities.
- 18 COMMISSIONER WILSON: And does
- 19 AltaGas have some experience with CNG?
- 20 MR. LOWE: Well, we -- we are working
- in our Nova Scotia utility with CNG trucking.
- 22 It's very rocky territory and it's hard to
- 23 pipeline through. And so for some of the large
- 24 users it makes sense to compress natural gas and
- 25 truck it to customers. And that's something that

1

18

19

20

21

we're -- we're actively working on. We've got an

2	application before the regulator down in Nova
3	Scotia, we've got MOEs with customers.
4	The shipping side is it's
5	something that we're looking at but we don't have
6	any operations on the shipping front.
7	COMMISSIONER WILSON: So what is the
8	source of natural gas for your Nova Scotia
9	operation? I assume it's something other than
10	CNG right now.
11	MR. LOWE: Oh, it is. I mean,
12	there's there's Sable Island is
13	the reservoir and Maritime's the northeast
14	pipeline, has a lateral up to Halifax. And then
15	the distribution system is connected to that main
16	line pipeline like an interstate pipeline. So
17	so the compressed natural gas trucking would be

22 by trucking.

23 COMMISSIONER WILSON: So the areas

24 that you serve in Nova Scotia were not served by

25 gas before your utility went in; is that what I

to deliver to customers who are, say, 60 miles

away from any pipeline. And you sort of say,

well, look, it's not economic to try to build a

pipeline through this rock but we can get you gas

- 1 understood?
- 2 MR. LOWE: That's right. 200- --
- 3 before 2003 there was no natural gas service in
- 4 Nova Scotia which is amazing when you think about
- 5 it. I mean, you go down there and people are
- 6 still burning fuel oil. I went to -- I went to
- 7 school there and it -- and it was, you know,
- 8 quite awhile ago but it just astonished me that
- 9 there was no natural gas. We take it for granted
- in Alberta anyway, and here I think.
- 11 COMMISSIONER WILSON: And just one
- 12 thing further. In the comments there was some
- 13 credit card issues and I think you stated that --
- 14 and this may be for Mr. Schreiber. I suppose it
- is, so I'll save it.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
- 17 Commissioner Wilson.
- And we're going to go off record,
- 19 take a ten-minute break. We're off record.
- 20 (A break was taken.)
- 21 THE COURT: All right. We are back
- on record. And before we proceed with additional
- 23 commissioner questions I would like to clean up
- 24 what was marked but not admitted as Hearing
- 25 Exhibit H1. There was discussion of Alta's

1 r	nanagement	' S	discussion	and	anal	ysis.	I	have	now
-----	------------	-----	------------	-----	------	-------	---	------	-----

- 2 marked as H2 and distributed to the parties the
- 3 document that the Commission accessed on Alta's
- 4 Web site. I will note that Alta did file in this
- 5 docket on March 14th their consolidated financial
- 6 statements. It looks like that may have been in
- 7 draft form. And also they filed a management's
- 8 discussion and analysis on March 30th that
- 9 similarly may have been in draft form. The
- 10 questioning to Ms. Stein earlier was from a more
- 11 I guess published-friendly document.
- 12 And, Ms. Stein, can you please
- describe to me what H2 is? I will note it
- 14 appears to have a -- a front cover, an inside
- cover and then starts on page 1 and runs through
- maybe a rear cover. But there are 94 numbered
- 17 pages. It looks like it starts with a
- management's discussion and analysis on page 2
- 19 and consolidated financial statements starting on
- 20 page 52. So with that, Ms. Stein, could you do a
- 21 better job of describing what it is I'm looking
- 22 at?
- MS. STEIN: (Off microphone.) Sorry.
- 24 Should I start over?
- THE COURT: Yes, please.

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

1	MS. STEIN: Okay. Exhibit H2 is
2	AltaGas Limited's 2011 AltaGas financial report.
3	It it starts with the overview or five-year
4	financial highlights and then goes on to describe
5	our strategy and our consolidated outlook and our
6	risk management strategies for the organization.
7	And then goes on to describe the financial
8	statements with notes. And those would be
9	audited financial statements and notes for
LO	AltaGas, Ltd., as of December 31st and for the
L1	year ending December 31st, 2011.
L2	THE COURT: And, Ms. Stein, is this
L3	the document that you were referring to when
L4	Commissioner Giard was asking you about page 8 of
L5	the management's discussion and analysis?
L6	MS. STEIN: Yes, it was.
L7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
L8	And is there any objection admitting
L9	H2 into evidence in these proceedings?
20	Hearing none, H2 is admitted into
21	evidence. And I will now turn, again, to the
22	Commission to see if they have anymore questions
23	for Mr. Lowe and Ms. Stein.
24	Commissioner Wilson?
25	Commissioner Lisankie?

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

1	And also, just as a warning you're
2	going to be on the hook until the end of the
3	hearing. So if questions come up you may get
4	them. Commissioner Patch has no questions.
5	Commissioner Pickett?
6	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Just one quick
7	question for Ms. Stein. In your direct testimony
8	you indicated that in the discussion about the
9	difference between U.S. and Canadian accounting
10	policies that in 2012 you will be using the U.S.
11	standards; is that correct?
12	MS. STEIN: That is correct.
13	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: And just for
14	my own information I know a couple of years
15	ago from participation in NARUC meetings there
16	was a lot of concern about the international
17	financial reporting standards particularly as to
18	how they were going to mesh with the traditional
19	rate-regulated U.S. utilities. Issues such as
20	regulatory assets and a whole other array of
21	things. Where is Canada on this IFRS issue?
22	MS. STEIN: Canada has adopted IFRS.
23	What the what the accounting authorities
24	allowed regulated utilities to do was to defer
25	its adoption of IFRS. So Canada went to IFRS I

1	believe in 2011. And the regulated utilities
2	were allowed a one-year deferral before adopting
3	IFRS in the hopes I believe that the
4	International Accounting Standards Board would
5	would change its mind on the way that regulated
6	utilities were accounted for.
7	That did not move forward as much as
8	the Canadian standard setters had hoped. What we
9	were and then and then there was an
10	exemption that the securities commission allowed
11	companies with rate-regulated assets to adopt.
12	And that rate that exemption we had to file
13	for and was granted the ability to use U.S. GAAP
14	without being an SEC registrant. So we were
15	going down the path of registering when the
16	Commission authorized public public companies
17	in Canada with rate-regulated assets to use U.S.
18	gaps so we did not have to become an SEC
19	registrant. We were fully prepared to do that in
20	order to adopt U.S. GAAP. But we were fortunate
21	enough that we didn't have to go down that path.
22	That exemption will take us through I
23	believe the year end 2015 subject to my
24	colleagues behind me confirming that it is 2015.
25	And at that point in time we we will see

1	whether the securities commission in Canada
2	allows that exemption to continue or whether we
3	will have to become an SEC registrant in order to
4	continue to report under U.S. GAAP. But it is
5	AltaGas Limited's firm intention to continue to
6	report under U.S. GAAP.
7	COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Okay. Thank
8	you.
9	THE COURT: Commissioner Giard?
10	COMMISSIONER GIARD: Have some more
11	questions on my original list. I was reading
12	through Cap Rock acquisition of Enstar paperwork
13	for the fact that Mr. Schreiber's here and I can
14	ask him a couple questions about that. One of
15	the among the several guarantees that Cap Rock
16	made was similar to the guarantee that you've
17	made, Mr. Lowe, that the there aren't going to
18	be any personnel changes. But Cap Rock also said
19	there weren't going to be any compensation level
20	changes and in Enstar's long, long past it once
21	got a rate increase based on proposed
22	compensation levels, and then those compensation
23	levels were immediately cut after the rate case
24	was done. So not wanting to see that happen I

would like your explanation about the commitment

- that you've made for two years in terms of levels
- 2 and people. You can increase, particularly
- 3 Ms. Starring. You just can't cut.
- 4 MR. LOWE: I think that's right. The
- 5 idea is that we weren't going to decrease
- 6 compensation levels and we'd honor all collective
- 7 agreements of course.
- 8 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay.
- 9 MR. LOWE: But it's not a -- it's not
- 10 a --
- 11 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. Very
- 12 good.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Commissioner, excuse
- 14 me. And for the record that -- what you
- described as getting the rates set on a higher
- 16 compensation level and cutting did not happen as
- long as I've been in charge.
- 18 COMMISSIONER GIARD: No, many, many
- 19 years ago.
- 20 MR. SCHREIBER: Good. Thank you.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GIARD: In the '80s. I
- 22 was in college still.
- 23 And no reduction in force of the
- 24 nonexecutive personnel?
- MR. LOWE: That's right.

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

1	COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. And then
2	as it probably doesn't sound like that because
3	I'm kind of a serious broad but I am very pleased
4	about this. I think you do have a healthy
5	balance sheet. I'm going to talk to you a little
6	bit about your balance sheet. And I I'm
7	excited about the opportunities. I'm also a
8	little concerned about the capital investment
9	that has been made in Alaska over the last couple
10	of years, has really moved Alaska forward. And
11	I'm concerned that that is going to dribble down
12	a bit. So I'm serious but I'm pleased, for the
13	record.
14	So if you could I understood from
15	the CFO that you were anticipating a \$100 million
16	spend. CINGSA based on financial statements that
17	were filed with us on a quarterly basis the
18	quarterly financial statements with a balance
19	sheet is obviously your year spent about
20	111 million in a year, SEMCO did. So when I look
21	at your spend projection for utilities on a whole
22	and I look at the spend that happened between
23	2009, 2010 or 2010 and 2011 for SEMCO, there's
24	a big delta in that capital spend plan. And I'm
25	concerned about that. I'm only one vote but I am

concerned that the spend for Alaska aside from

1

22

2	the CINGSA which obviously is a growth
3	opportunity, does not decrease below the spend
4	that it's experienced in the recent past. We
5	have challenges here in terms of the distribution
6	system for Enstar. And I just would like a
7	little more information about what your plans are
8	in terms of the capital spend or what you can
9	commit to us thus far.
10	MR. LOWE: Well, I will we
11	forecast to spend what's necessary to ensure the
12	safety and reliability of the system and to
13	ensure that organic growth occurs and that new
14	customers are tied in. And then we also have on
15	our radar screen the potential to expand CINGSA.
16	And there's also the potential to expand down to
17	Homer. And that's something that we've looked at
18	and, you know, we'd need approvals and you
19	know, to to make that expenditure. But that's
20	something that we're we'd certainly be
21	receptive to.

there's a number of projects that are talked about and there's opportunities to tie in other communities. And that's something that we're

As far as other projects there's --

1	interested in. That's why we're here is to do
2	that. We're in we're in the infrastructure
3	business. Our vision is to be a leading North
4	American infrastructure company. And so tying in
5	new customers, building a plant, adding value
б	is is why we're here.
7	COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. So not
8	that concerned about the growth opportunities
9	because I think that they'll pass your hurdle
10	rate or you'll examine them in that regard, and
11	that's perfectly acceptable. We're not going to
12	ask you to do any loss leaders. But there are
13	constraints on Enstar's pipeline system, existing
14	constraints in its transmission system. And the
15	distribution system is is I think
16	Commissioner Pickett told me somewhere around
17	some of the aging infrastructure was in the '60s.
18	And so in Michigan you filed main
19	replacement reports on a fairly recent basis.
20	You don't have to do that in Alaska, but you are
21	entering a mature system in many respects which
22	are going to make, you know, safety requirements
23	from you. And what I hear you saying to me today
24	is, "Don't worry, Commissioner Giard, we are
25	going to make the investments that are necessary

	1	to	ensure	that	our	main	replacement	system,	your
--	---	----	--------	------	-----	------	-------------	---------	------

- 2 distribution system and our transmission system
- 3 meets the needs of Alaska as it stands today."
- 4 Is that your commitment?
- 5 MR. LOWE: Yes, that's my commitment.
- 6 And I -- I should've trigged to this earlier but
- 7 in, you know, Alberta we have a system betterment
- 8 program that was just approved by the Alberta
- 9 Utilities Commission. But we've been building it
- on an ongoing basis and that's to replace bare
- 11 mains and some of the older plastic parts of the
- 12 system. That's continuing on.
- The -- as you've mentioned Michigan,
- they have a system betterment program as well.
- 15 That's something that we're 100 percent
- 16 supportive of. We'd look to expand that if we --
- if it made sense. And we would -- we'd see the
- 18 same for Alaska.
- 19 And in fact this would be -- this
- 20 system here I think is -- is almost the same
- vintage as AUI's and Pacific Northern Gas. This
- is the kind of facilities that we're really
- familiar with upgrading and replenishing. So
- 24 that's -- that -- I can give you a commitment
- 25 that we'll look at that and we'll do what's --

- what's needed to bring the system up to par.
- 2 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. That's
- 3 all I was wanting.
- 4 Those are my questions. Thank you
- 5 very much for your time.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 7 Giard.
- 8 And I believe we're now going to turn
- 9 the main focus of the questions to Mr. Schreiber.
- 10 So do you have any questions for Mr. Schreiber?
- 11 COMMISSIONER GIARD: So Cap Rock --
- 12 your attorney mentioned that you were going to
- 13 explain in your testimony why Cap Rock decided to
- 14 sell. But I didn't -- I didn't read it well
- enough or I didn't hear it loud enough. So I
- 16 wonder if you could explain that to us.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Sure. Sure.
- 18 Let me just start out by saying that
- in my own estimation I think I've been brilliant
- so far this morning in my responses.
- 21 Seriously, Commissioner, what -- what
- 22 Continental is all about really is investing
- 23 capital, earning a return and fixing things. And
- when the Cap Rock transaction happened in 2007
- 25 the financial condition of the company was not in

1	good shape. We had no financial flexibility. We
2	did not have investment-grade ratings. With the
3	investment of \$100 million of capital at that
4	time it accelerated the financial recovery of the
5	company to a great extent. And as a result of
6	that I believe it was either 2009, 2010 we
7	achieved investment-grade ratings. And we put
8	the company on sound financial footing with
9	financial flexibility and allowed us to in
LO	allowing us to move forward we then knew that
L1	from our perspective once things are fixed we're
L2	looking for other opportunities to invest capital
L3	and come in and repair the finances of other
L4	businesses.
L5	When we had been approached by two or
L6	three other companies in 2011 about, well, do you
L7	have any interest in selling SEMCO and we started
L8	conversations then. They never went very far.
L9	As we stated in my testimony in a conversation
20	unrelated to the SEMCO/Enstar family with AltaGas
21	because they have this desire to be a leading
22	North American energy infrastructure company the
23	conversation turned to, well, what about Michigan
24	and Alaska from a gas distribution business
25	because we really know the gas business and we

- 1 have an active utility business -- businesses in
- 2 Canada and we'd like to have a foundation in the
- 3 United States.
- 4 And so one thing led to another. And
- 5 because where we were in the timing of our
- 6 investment because we -- we felt that AltaGas was
- 7 -- was an extremely attractive purchaser from
- 8 many different aspects and because we were able
- 9 to negotiate, which I think is a good price, we
- 10 thought this was the right time to move forward
- 11 with the AltaGas transaction.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GIARD: I'm curious that
- 13 they didn't take Texas.
- MR. SCHREIBER: New Mexico.
- 15 COMMISSIONER GIARD: New Mexico.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Well, New Mexico --
- 17 COMMISSIONER GIARD: None of my
- 18 business, I'm just curious.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Well, no, it's fine.
- New Mexico; two things really. We
- 21 think we still have more work to do there, to get
- New Mexico in the same kind of shape that the
- 23 SEMCO family -- SEMCO/Enstar family is in.
- 24 That's probably the most important thing. And
- 25 then the other equally important thing is that

- we're -- our hands are tied to a certain extent
- 2 by our settlement with the Commission in the 2009
- 3 approval case where we said that we would hold
- 4 that asset for at least five years. And -- and
- 5 we -- under that measure we have a couple more
- 6 years to run until we're out from underneath that
- 7 restriction.
- 8 But really it's -- from our point of
- 9 view it's -- it's not a regulatory constraint.
- 10 It's a business constraint. We still have things
- 11 that we want to accomplish and we want to put in
- 12 place in New Mexico as we've done with SEMCO and
- 13 Enstar.
- 14 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Okay. Thank
- 15 you.
- 16 THE COURT: Commissioner Pickett?
- 17 COMMISSIONER PICKETT: Now that
- 18 you're out of the hot seat, good luck.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Thank you.
- 20 THE COURT: Commissioner -- Chairman
- 21 Patch?
- 22 CHAIRMAN PATCH: Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Schreiber. I will say that at a NARUC
- 24 conference very shortly after the filing I had
- 25 some discussions with Commissioner White of the

- 1 Michigan Commission, and the New Mexico
- 2 Commission was interested and they are watching
- 3 what happened in Michigan and they are watching
- 4 what is going on today. Good luck with them.
- 5 They're certainly enjoyable people on the New
- 6 Mexico Commission.
- 7 MR. SCHREIBER: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PATCH: Turning to your
- 9 testimony at page 16 you make reference to the
- 10 federal filings. Can you tell me the status of
- 11 those federal filings and when you expect to make
- them? It is my understanding that all of those
- -- that none of those filings require approvals
- but they do require notice and an opportunity for
- 15 conversation with those agencies.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Yeah, that is
- 17 correct. All those filings have been made. And
- I think that we have -- well, I think we do have
- 19 approvals. I mean, I know that the
- 20 Hart-Scott-Rodino has passed. I know that the
- 21 SEC has ruled that the licenses can be
- transferred. And so from a federal point of view
- 23 what I mention here on page 16 all those
- 24 regulatory requirements have been met and we have
- 25 those approvals.

- 1 CHAIRMAN PATCH: All right. Thank
- 2 you very much. I appreciate that.
- 3 No further questions.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Chairman
- 5 Patch.
- 6 Commissioner Lisankie?
- 7 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Mr. Schreiber
- 8 , always nice to see you.
- 9 MR. SCHREIBER: Good to see you,
- 10 Commissioner.
- 11 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: I was trying
- to figure out how to articulate this. I was -- I
- was going to ask you how you described the
- 14 regulatory milieu in Alaska to your potential
- 15 successor. And then I thought, well, no, I'll
- just ask him how he'd describe me. But then I
- thought, well, jeez, that'll make me blush in
- 18 front of everybody when he says all this effusive
- 19 praise.
- 20 MR. SCHREIBER: You're a fantastic
- 21 commissioner.
- 22 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Yeah. Yeah.
- I decided that wasn't a good idea.
- 24 So what I thought is that maybe I
- 25 would try and garner a sense of what you might

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

- 1 have said just by asking you a broader question
- 2 about based on your experience with SEMCO being
- 3 regulated in, for example, Michigan and also here
- 4 what -- what your observations are as you -- as
- 5 you stand in the door here about how -- how your
- 6 regulation has gone with SEMCO in, you know,
- 7 Michigan versus Alaska, Michigan and Alaska just
- 8 because I would presume that you would be one of
- 9 the primary people if I was about to buy your
- 10 business as Alta is I think I would talk to you
- and say what am I up against.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Right.
- 13 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: I'm a
- 14 regulated utility. I understand that. But
- there's subtleties of regulation and under --
- 16 under-the-surface currents. So I just thought
- 17 perhaps, Mr. Schreiber, you would share with us
- 18 --
- MR. SCHREIBER: What I told John?
- 20 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: In less
- 21 colorful language.
- 22 MR. SCHREIBER: Certainly. Thank
- 23 you.
- 24 What -- basically my thoughts on it
- 25 when -- when we talked and when we were in the

1	due diligence process and and when we have
2	operated in both Michigan and Alaska is what we
3	ask of the Commissions in which we where we
4	operate, in their jurisdiction is just fair
5	treatment. And what from our point of view
6	what we want to do is disclose and be open about
7	everything we're doing, good and bad. And we
8	want you to know where we are.
9	And and once we've done that and
10	we have presented our side of the case and you
11	have listened to the other side present why we
12	should or should not have whatever we're asking
13	for or whatever we're trying to do fair judgment
14	in looking at the facts of the case and rendering
15	approval or non-approval or conditions or
16	whatever it is. And what I've what I told
17	John in these conversations is that is that,
18	look, we you know, have we had our rough
19	spots? You bet. But that's just part of any
20	relationship.
21	But in the totality of the time that
22	I have had the pleasure to work with SEMCO and my
23	colleagues that work with you all in this
24	relationship you've always been fair. And we've

25

asked for things that you haven't agreed with but

1	we've been able to resolve those. We've asked
2	for things that we thought were fair from our
3	point of view and you've thought they're fair
4	from your point of view. And as I said, in
5	Alaska particularly through Dan Dieckgraeff's
6	work and his ability to communicate to you all
7	about what we're doing, as I said, both good and
8	bad, we're prepared to let the chips fall that we
9	can make a case which you can render a fair
10	decision. And I think in my tenure in this role
11	that you have done that and I think Michigan has
12	done it as well. And we do the same we have
13	the same philosophy there. We want to be open.
14	We want to be transparent. Anything you all want
15	to talk about, look at, it's available to you.
16	And I think that as I've gotten to
17	know the AltaGas people I think you'll find that
18	it's their philosophy as well, is that the way
19	they the way they come at regulation is that,
20	look, we have a relationship, we have to get
21	things done. And we're just looking to be fair
22	on both sides.
23	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Okay. And in
24	the interest of fairness I'd like to say that
25	while earlier I said to Mr. Lowe that in the

- three years I've been here that I've probably
- 2 been on pins and needles about the gas situation
- 3 in Cook Inlet the whole time, that I believe that
- 4 we're in a much better position as we sit here
- 5 today than we were in March of 2009 when I first
- 6 sat down before you. And I certainly give a lot
- 7 of credit to Continental and SEMCO and Enstar and
- 8 APC for bringing that -- bringing us forward to a
- 9 still challenging in my view but nonetheless
- 10 better place.
- 11 MR. SCHREIBER: I would agree with
- 12 you. I -- I think we do still certainly have our
- challenges, but I do think we're in a better
- 14 place. And as far as the pins and needles thing,
- I had no gray hair before this gas commission
- 16 came up and you can see what it's done to me.
- 17 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Well, a lot
- 18 of people say, "Paul, you're only 30, why do you
- look so gray?"
- MR. SCHREIBER: Exactly.
- 21 COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Yeah.
- The other thing I did want to briefly
- 23 touch on since we -- especially since we went to
- 24 the trouble of clearing -- clearing up the
- 25 references in the record, but you were kind

1	enough to sponsor into the record a Standard &
2	Poor's rating that was issued in February of 2012
3	which was a positive credit watch adjustment
4	after the announced sale to AltaGas.
5	MR. SCHREIBER: That's correct.
6	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: And I just
7	wanted to give you an opportunity to confirm my
8	understanding from this which is that Standard
9	and Poor's after doing their due diligence feels
10	that SEMCO is going to qualify for a higher
11	credit rating after the acquisition than it
12	enjoys now.
13	MR. SCHREIBER: That that is what
14	they're indicated by their credit watch with a
15	positive trend would indicate that if if the
16	various issues that they look at that cause them
17	some concern, like liquidity, light gas supply,
18	like all the other parts of the business that
19	because AltaGas is a highly rated and very
20	creditworthy acquirer that that brings SEMCO
21	up and put us puts the SEMCO/Enstar family in
22	a position where the next adjustment, all things
23	being equal and the trends continue, would be to
24	a higher credit rating than it currently has.

COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Okay.

1	MR. SCHREIBER: Which I think is
2	is a great outcome and another good outcome of
3	this transaction.
4	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: And I was
5	going to observe that if I was going to
6	characterize your ability as a matchmaker then I
7	should at least give credit where credit is due
8	and in the eyes of Standard and Poor's, they look
9	favorably on the match that you have arranged for
10	us.
11	MR. SCHREIBER: Right. I think
12	that's true.
13	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Finally
14	before I let you go I'm just curious about as
15	as you think about changing your focus to other
16	pursuits, whatever they may be, what what
17	challenges here would be in the forefront as
18	you're doing your debriefing with Alta? Did you
19	have a specific thing or things that they think
20	based on your experience they need to be
21	considering right out of the box?
22	MR. SCHREIBER: Well, the obvious one
23	and the one we've been talking about this morning
24	is gas supply. And having and I think there

are two pieces on this as -- and what you've

1	touched on earlier. On the gas supply part of it
2	there are the business options and the business
3	alternatives that you would look at and figure
4	out in the absence of anything else, whether
5	there's, you know, political or regulatory or
6	anything else. You find out what makes sense
7	from a business point of view. Okay. And then
8	and that leads you on one path which may or
9	may not be attainable. And that gets to your
LO	point on there are other forces political and
L1	otherwise that are going to come to bear on
L2	what's going to be the right option that will be
L3	chosen as the company moves forward to be able to
L4	really satisfy this this gas supply issue for
L5	the customers of Alaska. So I think that's
L6	number one.
L7	I think that we've been able to
L8	and at some point the economy will turn and
L9	become more robust again because when
20	traditionally the customer growth and the growth
21	in Alaska is has outpaced other parts of the
22	United States and so I'm less concerned about bad
23	debts and delinquencies as we go forward because
24	I think the economy will turn here in the in
25	the not too distant future. So I'm not as

1 c	concerned	about	that.	
	Olicerned	about	chat.	

2	I'm concerned I'm not as concerned
3	about the work force. I think we have a terrific
4	group of employees. As I think Mr. Veerman said,
5	John and I have visited with every employee here
6	at Enstar and gone out and held meetings with
7	them and basically introduced John and let him
8	speak about AltaGas and the awards that AltaGas
9	has won as for corporate culture, for great
10	places to work, for various age groups, for just
11	recognition of being a very good company with the
12	idea that you know, any any time you have a
13	transaction like this it can be a little
14	destableing (sic) to the organization. I think
15	through our meetings John has done a great job in
16	letting the employees know that, you know, they
17	are really the value of the institution because
18	as a service business the people are what really
19	give the value.
20	So from that point of view I think
21	I have no concerns about that. There is a
22	general concern I have both in Alaska and
23	Michigan, and I know John shares it with the
24	demographics of the organization because as it
25	gets older we need to make sure we've got younger

1	people who are have been trained and have an
2	opportunity, but they the work force is of an
3	age where that is of a concern and the transition
4	to a younger work force. But I think other than
5	that I think we're on track certainly where I
6	wanted it wanted the company to be as we as
7	we work to turn it around.
8	And I think I think all of the
9	issues facing Enstar with the AltaGas leadership
LO	and with Colleen's leadership from the
L1	organization here on the ground are all
L2	manageable and we'll eventually get to the right
L3	decision as the process works itself through.
L4	COMMISSIONER LISANKIE: Okay. Well,
L5	I appreciate that.
L6	And well, I just want to say I
L7	can't believe it's been three years has gone that
L8	fast. And I must be forgetting some of the bad
L9	times because I just can't bring myself to try
20	and tack any part of your anatomy to the walls
21	here. So, again, Mr. Schreiber, I have enjoyed
22	our brief all too brief sometimes it seems
23	like a long time. Anyway, thanks very much for
24	what you've done for our area of Alaska in terms

of taking a series of challenging -- sometimes

1	challenging	positions,	sometimes	just	challenges

- 2 and sometimes over my kicking and screaming
- 3 advancing us to a better place.
- 4 MR. SCHREIBER: Thank you. Yeah, I
- 5 will just comment on -- briefly on that. It is
- 6 bittersweet for me as well because the most fun
- 7 I've had in my career is the eight years I've
- 8 been here with SEMCO and Enstar, and the last
- 9 couple years with New Mexico. But it's just been
- 10 a blast trying to get this company back on its
- 11 feet and doing all the things it should be doing,
- taking care of customers and that kind of thing.
- But I appreciate your comments. Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 15 Lisankie.
- 16 Commissioner Wilson?
- 17 COMMISSIONER WILSON: Returning to
- the initial comments we got on the transfer,
- 19 there were -- was a -- small credit card issues
- 20 that were raised and I just -- and I refer to
- 21 your reply comments where you say those are --
- are being addressed in the normal course of
- 23 business and do not belong in the certificate
- 24 transfer dockets. But I just want to know if you
- 25 have anything further to say on that issue.

23

24

25

address --

1	MR. SCHREIBER: Actually not. You
2	know, I'm I don't have all the details in my
3	hands. I know Mr. Dieckgraeff does. And I also
4	know that we are working with you all to
5	because any time we get comments or things like
6	this from customers we want to try and address
7	them. And I know that work is being done to do
8	that. And so I think the process is moving
9	forward but I don't have any further details on
10	that.
11	COMMISSIONER WILSON: And as far as
12	your other replies to the other commenters is
13	there any are there any updates on that, for
14	example, to the do you have any updates to
15	your replies to the Inlet entity's comments or to
16	ML&P's comments?
17	MR. SCHREIBER: No, I think they're
18	fully covered in what we submitted.
19	COMMISSIONER WILSON: In your reply?
20	MR. SCHREIBER: Yes. Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER WILSON: Thank you.
22	I have nothing further.

MR. SCHREIBER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER GIARD: I just want to

- 1 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- Wilson.
- 3 COMMISSIONER GIARD: -- the
- 4 relationship question, Mr. Schreiber. I believe
- 5 that Commissioner Lisankie had the good years,
- 6 because when I was reappointed I believe you
- 7 said, "Well, Commissioner Giard, the devil you
- 8 know." So hopefully we'll have good years in the
- 9 future.
- 10 MR. SCHREIBER: Absolutely. Hope so
- 11 too.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you, Commissioner
- 13 Giard.
- One moment while I confirm that we're
- done with the questioning of the witnesses.
- 16 Looks like there are no further
- 17 questions for the witnesses. So, Mr. Lowe,
- 18 you're excused. Thank you very much for your
- 19 testimony.
- 20 Ms. Stein, you're excused. Thank you
- very much for your testimony.
- 22 And, Mr. Schreiber, you're excused.
- 23 Thank you very much for your testimony.
- MR. SCHREIBER: Thank you.
- THE COURT: And Mr. Veerman,

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

- 1 Mr. Saupe, I'll give you the opportunity for a
- brief -- a brief closing statement.
- 3 CLOSING STATEMENT
- 4 MR. VEERMAN: Thank you very much,
- 5 Your Honor and Commissioners. I want to thank
- 6 you for your questions today. You've asked very
- 7 good questions. I think you got good answers.
- 8 I'm here as an attorney and an
- 9 advocate but I'm also a member of the public.
- 10 And this Commission is the gatekeeper of the
- 11 public interest and it's always reassuring to see
- 12 the Commission take so seriously that role of
- being that gatekeeper of the public interest.
- 14 The questions were very good today.
- I think the answers you got helped to
- 16 substantiate that AltaGas is fit, willing -- very
- 17 willing to take on the challenges and able of
- 18 ultimately being a controller of Enstar and APC
- 19 and CINGSA.
- 20 With regard to the order, if the
- 21 Commission is inclined to grant these
- 22 applications I hope it will. I urge the
- 23 Commission as is its custom to make the order a
- 24 final order with the language that you include
- 25 for the purpose of doing that. I -- and I think

1	in	this	kind	of	situation,	а	relatively	clean

- 2 order is in order so to speak without conditions
- 3 we haven't already addressed here today or
- 4 addressed specifically in our application.
- 5 This is a stock transaction. It
- 6 isn't going to have any impact on rates at all.
- 7 And it isn't going to change the names of the
- 8 regulated utilities. So I don't think there's
- 9 any need for -- for example, for any directive to
- 10 file a new tariff or to file a tariff adoption
- 11 notice as is sometimes the case in ownership
- 12 transfer proceedings.
- So with that I would, again, thank
- 14 the Commission for your questions today and would
- 15 urge you to go ahead and approve these
- 16 applications. I think they are in the public
- interest.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Veerman.
- Mr. Saupe?
- 21 CLOSING STATEMENT
- MR. SAUPE: From Continental's
- 23 perspective I have little to add to what
- 24 Mr. Schreiber said so well.
- 25 Both parties are hoping to close the

- 1 sale transaction on August 30th. And so if you
- 2 are inclined to approve the transfers of the
- 3 certificates we'd all very much appreciate
- 4 learning that before that closing date so that
- 5 the transaction can be concluded.
- And with that I'd also like to thank
- 7 you for the good questions and for your time and
- 8 attention. And we urge you to approve the
- 9 applications.
- 10 Thank you very much.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Saupe.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Judge Wood, are
- we receiving a draft order from these parties?
- 14 THE COURT: They have not been
- required to submit a draft order yet.
- 16 COMMISSIONER GIARD: Oh, I thought in
- 17 the interest of getting the order out
- 18 expeditiously given what we've got coming up with
- 19 TAPS, I don't know whether the idea of a draft
- order would be something that would -- we should
- 21 ask them about.
- THE COURT: Mr. Veerman?
- 23 Mr. Saupe?
- MR. VEERMAN: From our perspective,
- speaking for AltaGas, we'd be very happy to

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

1	assist the Commission with a draft order. And
2	I'm sure that Mr. Saupe and I could work together
3	if that would help ease your burden. We're very
4	happy to do that and I think able to do it.
5	THE COURT: And I will be in contact
6	with you two after this proceeding.
7	Is there anything further?
8	Hearing nothing, this hearing is
9	adjourned.
10	Thank you all very much.
11	(Hearing adjourned at 11:19 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Kristin L. O'Reilly, hereby certify
3	that the foregoing pages are a true, accurate, and
4	complete transcript of the Public Hearing held May
5	30, 2012, before the Regulatory Commission of
6	Alaska, transcribed by me from a copy of the
7	electronic sound recording to the best of my
8	knowledge and ability.
9	
10	
11	Date Kristin L. O'Reilly, RPR
12	RIISCIII II. O REIIIy, I
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	