STATE OF ALASKA

THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA

Before Commissioners: Robert M. Pickett, Chairman Stephen McAlpine Rebecca L. Pauli Norman Rokeberg Janis W. Wilson In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated) as TA285-4 Filed by ENSTAR NATURAL GAS) U-16-066 SEMCO) COMPANY, A DIVISION OF ENERGY, INC.

ENSTAR NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FIRST SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS ON **ENSTAR'S REPLY TESTIMONY (AG-ENSTAR-R1)**

Pursuant to 3 AAC 48.155 and 3 AAC 48.141-145, ENSTAR Natural Gas Company ("ENSTAR") hereby provides its response to the Attorney General's First Set of Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony ("AG-ENSTAR-R1"), as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Discovery in this docket is not complete. As discovery proceeds, facts, information, evidence, documents, and other matters may be discovered which are not set forth in these responses, but which may be responsive to these discovery requests. The following responses are complete based on ENSTAR's current knowledge, information, and belief. Furthermore, these responses were prepared based on

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017

Page 1 of 96

Date: 6/15/17 Exh # H-76 Regulatory Commission of Alaska By: 1 U-16-066

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc.

(907) 337-2221

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

ENSTAR's good faith interpretation of the discovery requests and are subject to correction for inadvertent errors or omissions, if any.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. ENSTAR objects to requests for documents relating to confidential settlement negotiations. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
- 2. ENSTAR objects to the production of documents, calculations, and analyses that do not exist. A document is not within a party's "possession, custody, or control" if it does not exist.
- 3. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as they are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, or use terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these data requests. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
- 4. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding.
- 5. ENSTAR objects to providing information to the extent such information is already a matter of public record. The requesting party is not entitled to require other parties to gather information that is equally available and accessible to it.

6. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it seeks documents or information protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product privilege. Nothing contained in these responses is intended as, or shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of any such privilege or protection, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine.

7. ENSTAR objects to the instructions contained in AG-ENSTAR-R-1. In responding to the requests, ENSTAR will abide by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska's ("RCA") discovery regulations and where applicable, Alaska Rules of Civil Procedure.

DISCOVERY RESPONSES

AG-ENSTAR-R1-1. Refer to the attached document titled "AltaGas; AltaGas to acquire WGL Holdings, Enhancing a leading, North American diversified energy infrastructure company, dated January 25, 2017 (computer file named 2017-01-25 - Pr Blue Jay Rollout deck (1PM MT) - Final_rfs.pdf).

- (a) Admit that the document is a true and accurate copy.
- (b) If the response to subpart (a) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, provide a true and accurate copy of the document.
 - (c) Admit that this document is a presentation to AltaGas shareholders.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 3 of 96

AG-ENSTAR-R1-18. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 6 that states in part: "ENSTAR made substantial investments in Alaska during the test-year, including approximately \$40 million in new plant added, the majority of which was non-revenue producing." (Emphasis added).

- (a) Identify each item of new plant that Mr. Dieckgraeff contends is non-revenue producing.
 - (b) For each item of plant identified in response to subpart (a) state:
 - (i) The cost; and
- (ii) Whether the item of new plant is expected to save costs or reduce expenses (e.g., Mr. Green's direct testimony at 21 asserts that the CINGSA Lateral will save ENSTAR customers in cost to transport gas and will save costs by not requiring recompression of gas removed from CINGSA).

Response: See the attached worksheet labeled ENS07899, a listing of the 2015 capital expenditures by project (or asset category if applicable).

- (a) All of the items listed in this document are non-revenue producing.
- (b) With the exception of the CINGSA Lateral, ENSTAR has not identified any material, immediate cost savings for the capital expenditures listed in this document.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff.

Alaska regulatory lag issues is not related to the rate issues to be determined in this case.

(d) See objections and response to subpart (c) above.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Counsel.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-20. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 8 referring to AS 42.05.381, 3 AAC 48.700-48.790, and simplified rate filing procedures.

- (a) Admit that the simplified rate filing procedures for electric utilities only apply to cooperative utilities owned by its ratepayers.
- (b) If the response to subpart (a) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what Mr. Dieckgraeff believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (c) Is ENSTAR aware of any local exchange carrier, that is not a cooperative, that has made a simplified rate filing in the last ten years?
 - (d) If the response to subpart (c) is affirmative, identify each such filing.

Response:

- (a) Admit.
- (b) See response to subpart (a) above.
- (c) No.
- (d) See response to subpart (c) above.

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 38 of 96

1

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-21. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 13 that states in part: "As Dr. Fairchild discusses in his Prefiled Direct Testimony, because balances of Stored Gas, Materials and Supplies, and Prepaid Expenses are seasonal..." Mr. Dieckgraeff then goes on to discuss the seasonal nature of stored gas.

- (a) Does ENSTAR contend that Materials and Supplies are also seasonal?
- (b) If the response subpart (a) is affirmative, explain how and provide actual examples from the test-year.
 - (c) Does ENSTAR contend that Prepaid Expenses are also seasonal?
- (d) If the response subpart (c) is affirmative, explain how and provide actual examples from the test-year.

Response:

- (a) Yes.
- (b) See document ENS00001 provided in response to AG-1-2, at tab "N-RB", cells M15 through M29, which shows the balances through-out the test year as well as the 13 month average.
- (c) Yes.
- (d) See document ENS00001 provided in response to AG-1-2, at tab "N-RB", cells N15 through N29, which shows the balances through-out the test year as

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 39 of 96

well as the 13 month average and document ENS00070 provided in response to AG-1-7.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-22. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 14 that states in part: "First of all, the year-end rate base synchronization adjustments to revenues and expenses proposed by ENSTAR were calculated in the same way as year-end rate base synchronization adjustments to revenues and expenses that were accepted by the Commission in U-84-059(15)."

- (a) Admit at the time of the hearing on the merits in Docket U-84-059 that use of year-end rate based for plant in service was not a disputed issue.
- (b) If the response to subpart (a) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matte to be.
- (c) Admit that Order U-84-059(15) does not identify synchronization of customer count impact on revenue as a disputed issue.
- (d) If the response to subpart (e) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what Mr. Dieckgraeff believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (e) Admit that Order U-84-059(15) does not identify synchronization of weather's impact on revenue as a disputed issue.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 40 of 96

- (f) If the response to subpart (e) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what Mr. Dieckgraeff believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (g) Admit that Order U-84-059(15) does not identify synchronization of the economy's impact on revenue as a disputed issue.
- (h) If the response to subpart (g) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what Mr. Dieckgraeff believes the truth of the matter to be.

Response:

- (a) Admit.
- (b) See response to subpart (a) above.
- (c) Admitted.
- (d) See response to subpart (c) above.
- (e) Admit.
- (f) See response to subpart (e) above.
- (g) Admit.
- (h) See response to subpart (g) above.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-23. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 16-17 that states in part:

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 41 of 96

attached document labeled ENS07902-07903, with redactions applied to portions of the documents that are irrelevant to this response.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff; John Sims.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-26. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 74 that refers to normalizing consumption of customers for weather, and that also states in part: "Second, in ENSTAR's last rate case, U-14-111, it proposed a 'normalized use per customer' adjustment that generated significant disagreement between ENSTAR and RAPA, with a significant amount of discovery, testimony, and resources for both parties consumed by the subject."

- (a) Admit that the disputed issue in U-14-111 was declining use per customer, not weather normalization.
- (b) If the response to subpart (a) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.

Response:

- (a) Admit that declining use per customer was a disputed issue in that case, not weather normalization per se; however, RAPA witness Lance Kaufman spent several pages of his testimony criticizing ENSTAR's weather normalization model.
- (b) See the response to subpart (a) above.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 48 of 96

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-27. Refer to the prefiled reply testimony of John D. Sims at 4 that states in part: "Put another way, incentive compensation—like health insurance—is one component of an overall pay package."

- (a) Admit that all ENSTAR full-time employees are eligible for health insurance.
- (b) If the response to subpart (a) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (c) If the response to subpart (a) was affirmative, explain how insurance for which all full-time employees are eligible is "incentive compensation" and explain what the health insurance incentivizes.
- (d) Does ENSTAR consider other components part of its overall incentive compensation (for example, stock compensation, executive car allowance, relocation expense, payment of gym memberships)?
- (e) If the response to subpart (d) is affirmative, identify all components that ENSTAR asserts make up its employees and executive overall pay package.

Response:

(a) Denied. Full-time employees are eligible for health benefits after the completion of an initial 60-day probationary period.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 49 of 96