STATE OF ALASKA 1 2 REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA 3 Before Commissioners: Robert M. Pickett, Chairman 4 Stephen McAlpine 5 Rebecca L. Pauli Norman Rokeberg 6 Janis W. Wilson 7 In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated) as TA285-4 Filed by ENSTAR NATURAL GAS U-16-066 8 COMPANY, A DIVISION OF SEMCO 9 ENERGY, INC. 10 11 ENSTAR NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE OF 12 THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S SECOND REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY ON **REPLY TESTIMONY ("AG-ENSTAR-R2")** 13 Pursuant to 3 AAC 48.155 and 3 AAC 48.141-145, ENSTAR Natural Gas 14 15 16

Company ("ENSTAR") hereby provides its response to the Attorney General's Second Set of Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony ("AG-ENSTAR-R2"), as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Discovery in this docket is not complete. As discovery proceeds, facts, information, evidence, documents, and other matters may be discovered which are not set forth in these responses, but which may be responsive to these discovery requests. The following responses are complete based on ENSTAR's current knowledge, information, and belief. Furthermore, these responses were prepared based on

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017

Page 1 of 28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Date: 6/15/17 Exh # H-73 Regulatory Commission of Alaska By: 8 U-16.066 Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

ENSTAR's good faith interpretation of the discovery requests and are subject to correction for inadvertent errors or omissions, if any.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. ENSTAR objects to requests for documents relating to confidential settlement negotiations. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
- 2. ENSTAR objects to the production of documents, calculations, and analyses that do not exist. A document is not within a party's "possession, custody, or control" if it does not exist.
- 3. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as they are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, or use terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these data requests. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
- 4. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding.
- 5. ENSTAR objects to providing information to the extent such information is already a matter of public record. The requesting party is not entitled to require other parties to gather information that is equally available and accessible to it.

- 6. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it seeks documents or information protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product privilege. Nothing contained in these responses is intended as, or shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of any such privilege or protection, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine.
- 7. ENSTAR objects to the instructions contained in AG-ENSTAR-R2. In responding to the requests, ENSTAR will abide by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska's ("RCA") discovery regulations and where applicable, Alaska Rules of Civil Procedure.

DISCOVERY RESPONSES

AG-ENSTAR-R2-1. Refer to the Prefiled Reply Testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 6 that states in part: "If year-end rate base is not used, approximately \$2.3 million associated with that investment will never be recovered, representing unreasonable financial harm to ENSTAR." Refer to Mr. Dieckgraeff's Reply Testimony at 7 that states in part: "Interim and refundable rates are simply not as effective in reducing regulatory lag as other mechanisms such as using a year-end rate base...." Also refer to the following table.

(a)_	(b)	(c)	d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
						%
		Net Utility	Increase	Increase	%	Increase
	Utility Plant	Plant Per	Utility	Net Plant	Increase	in Net
	Per Annual	Annual	Plant Since	Since Prior	in PIS	Plant
	Report Page	Report Page	Prior Rate	Rate Case	Since	Since
Year	110, Line 2	110, Line 10	Case TY	TY	last TY	last TY

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017
Page 3 of 28

1	1999 TY				-		
2	for U-00-						
3	088	1999	305,736,581	165,359,836			
4		2000	319,143,393	170,374,322	13,406,812	5,014,486	
5		2001	328,080,939	170,165,217	22,344,358	4,805,381	
6		2002	336,714,597	169,915,937	30,978,016	4,556,101	L
7		2003	345,359,316	169,777,314	39,622,735	4,417,478	
8		2004	361,543,507	178,216,898	55,806,926	12,857,062	
9		2005	381,068,664	187,225,365	75,332,083	21,865,529	L
10		2006	395,064,903	191,445,920	89,328,322	26,086,084	
11		2007	401,838,777	190,974,764	96,102,196	25,614,928	
12	2008 TY		,				
13	for U-09-						
- 1	69/70	2008	415 107 030	198,676,463			-
14	02/10	2000	415,107,020	230,070,100	_	_	١.
14	37770	2009	425,906,366	197,051,166	10,799,346	(1,625,297)	L
	3770				10,799,346	(1,625,297) 13,945,018	
15	37773	2009	425,906,366	197,051,166			
15 16	37773	2009	425,906,366 435,949,833	197,051,166 212,621,481	20,842,813	13,945,018	
15 16 17	37773	2009 2010 2011	425,906,366 435,949,833 471,344,081	197,051,166 212,621,481 223,089,585	20,842,813	13,945,018	
15 16 17 18	37773	2009 2010 2011	425,906,366 435,949,833 471,344,081	197,051,166 212,621,481 223,089,585	20,842,813	13,945,018	
15 16 17 18 19		2009 2010 2011	425,906,366 435,949,833 471,344,081	197,051,166 212,621,481 223,089,585	20,842,813	13,945,018	
15 16 17 18 19 20		2009 2010 2011	425,906,366 435,949,833 471,344,081	197,051,166 212,621,481 223,089,585	20,842,813	13,945,018	

4.39%

7.31%

10.13%

12.96%

18.25%

24.64%

29.22%

31:43%

2.60%

5.02%

13.55%

15.59%

3.03%

2.91%

2.76%

2.67%

7.78%

13.22%

15.78%

15.49%

-0.82%

7.02%

12.29%

10.52%

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017 Page 4 of 28

25 26

24

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2013 TY for U-14- 111	2013	500,025,591	229,199,028				
	2014	523,753,292	244,638,256	23,727,701	15,439,228	4.75%	6.74%
2015 TY							
for U-16-							
066	2015	555,449,998	268,404,445				
	2016	571,122,970	272,009,910	15,672,972	3,605,465	2.82%	1.34%

- (a) Admit that the amounts of gross plant and net plant shown in columns (b) and (c) of the table accurately reflect the amounts reported by ENSTAR in its Annual Reports to the Commission for the year shown in column (a).
- (b) For the time period of 1999 through 2007, admit that the amounts shown in column (d) accurately reflect the increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 1999.
- (c) For the time period of 2008 through 2012, admit that the amounts shown in column (d) accurately reflect the increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2008.
- (d) For the time period of 2013 through 2014, admit that the amounts shown in column (d) accurately reflect the increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2013.

- (e) For the time period of 2015 through 2016, admit that the amounts shown in column (d) accurately reflect the increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2015.
- (f) If the response to subparts (b) through (e) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (g) For the time period of 1999 through 2007, admit that the amounts shown in column (e) accurately reflect the increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 1999.
- (h) For the time period of 2008 through 2012, admit that the amounts shown in column (e) accurately reflect the increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2008.
- (i) For the time period of 2013 through 2014, admit that the amounts shown in column (e) accurately reflect the increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2013.
- (j) For the time period of 2015 through 2016, admit that the amounts shown in column (e) accurately reflect the increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2015.
- (k) If the response to subparts (g) through (j) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017
Page 6 of 28

- (I) For the time period of 1999 through 2007, admit that the amounts shown in column (f) accurately reflect the percentage increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 1999.
- (m) For the time period of 2008 through 2012, admit that the amounts shown in column (f) accurately reflect the percentage increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2008.
- (n) For the time period of 2013 through 2014, admit that the amounts shown in column (f) accurately reflect the percentage increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2013.
- (o) For the time period of 2015 through 2016, admit that the amounts shown in column (f) accurately reflect the percentage increase in utility plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2015.
- (p) If the response to subparts (l) through (o) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (q) For the time period of 1999 through 2007, admit that the amounts shown in column (g) accurately reflect the percentage increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 1999.
- (r) For the time period of 2008 through 2012, admit that the amounts shown in column (g) accurately reflect the percentage increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2008.

- (s) For the time period of 2013 through 2014, admit that the amounts shown in column (g) accurately reflect the percentage increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2013.
- (t) For the time period of 2015 through 2016, admit that the amounts shown in column (g) accurately reflect the percentage increase in net plant reported in each Annual Report Year over the utility plant reported in 2015.
- (u) If the response to subparts (q) through (t) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (v) Admit that in the years 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2011 and 2012 ENSTAR utility plant had increased more than \$40 million over the utility plant total reflected during 1999.
- (w) If the response to subpart (v) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.
- (x) Admit that in the years 2011 and 2012 ENSTAR utility plant had increased more than \$40 million over the utility plant total reflected during 2008.
- (y) If the response to subpart (x) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, state what ENSTAR believes the truth of the matter to be.

May 24, 2017 Page 9 of 28

1	(p) N/A
2	(q) Admit.
3	(r) Admit.
4	
5	(s) Admit.
6	(t) Admit.
7	(u) N/A
8	(v) Admit.
9	(w) N/A
10	(x) Admit.
11	(y) N/A
12	
13	
14	(aa) N/A
15	Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff and Dr. Bruce Fairchild
16	
17	AG-ENSTAR-R2-2. Refer to the prefiled reply testimony of
18	Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at page 40, lines 9-18. Also refer to ENSTAR's proposed Homer
19	Extension adjustment, Attachment B, Schedule L.
21	(a) State the amount of depreciation expense of the \$3,630,072 Homer
22	
23	Extension CIAC that is included in the revenue requirement.
24	(i) Identify the supporting worksheet and cell number where the
25	depreciation expense is added to the revenue requirement.
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017 Page 10 of 28

- (ii) Identify the general ledger account where any test year depreciation expense on the Homer Extension CIAC was recorded.
- (b) How much amortization expense of the proposed \$1,143,412 Regulatory
 Asset is included in the revenue requirement?
- (i) Identify the supporting worksheet and cell number where the amortization expense is added to the revenue requirement.
- (ii) Identify the general ledger account where any test year amortization expense on the proposed regulatory asset was recorded.

Response:

- (a) \$75,506 (\$3,630,072 X 2.08%).
- (a)(i) There was no adjustment to test year depreciation expense for depreciation on the \$3,630,072 Homer extension CIAC (the Homer adjustment to depreciation and amortization expense is for the amortization of the regulatory asset). Adjustment/Schedule L shows an \$185,145 adjustment to accumulated depreciation for the CIAC amortization.
- (a)(ii) General ledger account 77100, Deprec-Utility.
- (b) \$147,537. See Schedule L to the 275(a), Cell H31.
- (b)(i) See ENS00001, Tab "P.1", (275a) Cell F70.
- (b)(ii) See the response to (b)(i) above.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff

AG-ENSTAR-R2-3. Refer to the prefiled testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at page 20, lines 1-5 where Mr. Dieckgraeff discusses CINGSA fees included in ENSTAR's stored gas account. Refer also to TA14-733 where the most recent CINGSA revenue requirement was filed.

- (a) Please provide the calculation of the dollar amount of the return component of CINGSA fees included in ENSTAR's stored gas account.
- (b) Please provide the calculation of the dollar amount of CINGSA return that flows through to SEMCO.
- (c) Admit that in TA14-733 Year 3 refers to the period from April 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015.
- (d) Admit that in TA14-733 Year 4 refers to the period from April 1, 2015 through March 31, 2016.
- (e) Admit that TA14-733 Exhibit A, Schedule 6, line 3 shows that CINGSA's revenue requirement is designed to collect \$8,781,520 of return on equity for Year 3 and \$8,225,181 return on equity for Year 4.
- (f) Admit that TA14-733 Exhibit A, Schedule 9 shows that for Years 3 and 4 CINGSA total annual firms revenues were projected to be \$27,289,242 and that \$19272,958 (70.6 percent) of those revenues were projected to come from ENSTAR.
- (g) Admit that the following table accurately shows the return on equity from ENSTAR included in CINGSA's rates based on the above information gathered from TA14-733.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017
Page 12 of 28

	Year 3	Year 4
Return on Equity	8,781,520	8,225,181
ENSTAR percentage of Revenues	70.6%	70.6%
Return on Equity Provided From ENSTAR	6,199,753	5,806,978

Response:

- (a) As shown in the attached file ENS08143, based upon RAPA's calculation of \$25,316,480 of CINGSA reservation and capacity fees imbedded in the 13 month average balance of stored gas of \$57,747,033, ENSTAR calculates that the equity return component of CINGSA fees included in ENSTAR's stored gas account is \$7,769,628.
- (b) As shown in ENS08143 provided in response to (a) above, based upon RAPA's calculation of \$25,316,480 of CINGSA reservation and capacity fees imbedded in the 13 month average balance of stored gas of \$57,747,033, ENSTAR calculates that the SEMCO Energy share of the equity return component of CINGSA fees included in ENSTAR's stored gas account is \$5,050,258.
- (c) Admit.
- (d) Admit.
- (e) In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to the request as it seeks information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving these objections, deny.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017
Page 13 of 28

- (f) Deny.
- (g) Deny. This calculation fails to take into account injection and withdrawal fees. See file ENS08143 provided in response to (a) above.

Person(s) Supplying Information: Daniel Dieckgraeff

AG-ENSTAR-R2-4. Refer to the prefiled reply testimony of John Sims at 17-18.

- (a) Is ENSTAR agreeing in its reply testimony that \$4,730 of spousal travel costs should be removed from the revenue requirement?
 - (b) If the response to subpart (a) is negative, state the amount.

Response:

- (a) ENSTAR consents to the removal of the \$4,730 of spousal travel from the revenue requirement.
 - (b) Not applicable.

Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims

AG-ENSTAR-R2-5. Refer to the prefiled reply testimony of Daniel M. Dieckgraeff at 56-69, where Mr. Dieckgraeff addresses the \$322,005 of miscellaneous expenses disallowed by Ms. Fairchild-Hamilton.

State the total dollar amount of miscellaneous expenses ENSTAR agreed to remove in its Reply testimony from its proposed revenue requirement.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's 2nd Discovery Requests on Reply Testimony May 24, 2017
Page 14 of 28