1	STATE OF ALASKA		
2	THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA		
3	Before Commissioners: Robert M. Pickett, Chairman		
4 5	Stephen McAlpine Rebecca L. Pauli Norman Rokeberg Janis W. Wilson		
6	Janis w. wilson		
7	In the Matter of the Request Filed by the ) MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE d/b/a ) MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER DEPARTMENT for ) U-16-064		
8	Approval to Establish Depreciation Rates		
9	)		
10	In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated as ) TA357-121 Filed by the MUNICIPALITY OF )		
11	ANCHORAGE d/b/a MUNICIPAL LIGHT & ) U-17-008 POWER DEPARTMENT		
12			
13	MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PROVIDENCE HEALTH & SERVICES' SECOND REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY (PHS-MLP-2)		
14			
15	The Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light & Power ("ML&P"),		
16	hereby provides its second supplemental response to Providence Health & Services' ("PHS'") second request for discovery. All responses to discovery are prepared by ML&P in consultation with counsel. Witnesses at hearing will be available for cross-examination on their testimony. Documents produced in response to these requests will also be stored an electronic document		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	management sharefile site accessible with login credentials that have been or will be provided as		
22	requested to the counsel, analysts, and consultants for PHS, AG, ANTHC, ENSTAR, FEA, and		
23	JLP. Documents will accessible in the folder "Shared / ML&P / U-17-008 2015 Rate Case /		
24	Discovery / PHS-MLP / PHS-MLP-2 / Production Docs PHS-MLP-2 (4-18-17)."		
25			
26	April 18, 2017   Page 1 of 8   (symLP/U-17-008/Disc/PHS-MLP-2/4-18-17   (PHS-MLP-2 2nd Supplement)   Date: 2/08/17 Exh # H-122   Regulatory Commission of Alaska   U-16-094 By: Df   U-16-094 By: Df   (907) 337-2221		

	1	REDACTED
	2	
	3	Interrogatory (No. PHS-MLP-2-68): On pages 6 through 19 of his prefiled
	4	testimony, Mr. Saleba describes the history of integrated resource planning at ML&P. In this
	5	regard, please describe any stakeholder involvement process that ML&P has employed since
	6	2002 as part of its IRP process, including:
	7	a. The names of all stakeholder groups and individuals who were invited to
	8	participate in a stakeholder process;
	9	b. The names of all stakeholder groups and individuals who participated in a
	10 11	stakebolder process;
	12	c. The dates of each stakeholder group meeting;
	13	d. A description of the recommendations that resulted from the stakeholder
	14	process;
	15	e. A description of how ML&P incorporated those recommendations into its
LLUS 25	16	resource planning process; and
ND EI Ation Uite 20	17	f. A description of how ML&P incorporated those recommendation into its
A N A A N A ORPOR NE, SI 1604	18	decision to construct the Sullivan Plant 2A.
OFFICE FFM, ONAL C ONAL C ONAL C ALASK ALASK	19	<b>Response:</b> ML&P has not utilized stakeholder involvement procedures in the
L, HU Dfessi offessi firewi Rage, (90)	20	development of its JRPs.
MPPEL	21 22	Person(s) Supplying Information: Anne Falcon.
KEMPI 255 anc	22	
	24	
	25	MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO
	26	PHS' SECOND REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY (PHS-MLP-2) Docket U-17-008/U-16-094
		April 18, 2017 Page 3 of 8 fs\ML&P\U-17-008\Discovery\PHS-MLP4-18-17