1	STATE OF ALASKA	
2	REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA	
3		
4	Before Commissioners: Robert M. Pickett, Chairman Stephen McAlpine	
5	Rebecca L. Pauli Norman Rokeberg	
6	Janis W. Wilson	
7	In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated)	
8	as TA285-4 Filed by ENSTAR NATURAL GAS) U-16-066 COMPANY, A DIVISION OF SEMCO)	
9 10	ENERGY, INC.	
10		
12	ENSTAR NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S CONFIDENTIAL RESPONSE TO MEA-3-5 and 3-6 DISCOVERY REQUESTS PURSUANT TO U-16-066(13)	
13	In U-16-066(13), Administrative Law Judge Wood granted Matanuska Electric	
14	Association, Inc.'s ("MEA") motion to compel ENSTAR Natural Gas Company's	
15 16	("ENSTAR") Responses to MEA's Discovery Requests 3-5 and 3-6. The following	
17	confidential response is provided in accordance with that Order.	
18	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	
19	Discovery in this docket is not complete. As discovery proceeds, facts,	
20	information, evidence, documents, and other matters may be discovered which are not	
21	set forth in these responses, but which may be responsive to these discovery requests.	
22	The following responses are complete based on ENSTAR's current knowledge,	
23	information, and belief. Furthermore, these responses were prepared based on	
24	miennation, una conchi ramiennose, mese responses mere preparea based on	
25 26	11 16 066 - EXISTAP's Response to MEA 3.5 and 3.6 per U_{16} 066(13)	
20	U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to MEA-3-5 and 3-6 per U-16-066(13) June 1, 2017 Page 1 of 8 December 2017 Date: 8 June 17 Exh # H-32 December 2017	
	By: D -16-06	
11	Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221	

-

1	ENSTAR's good faith interpretation of the discovery requests and are subject to
2	correction for inadvertent errors or omissions, if any.
3	GENERAL OBJECTIONS
4	1. ENSTAR objects to requests for documents relating to confidential
5 6	settlement negotiations. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these
7	data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
8	2. ENSTAR objects to the production of documents, calculations, and
9	analyses that do not exist. A document is not within a party's "possession, custody, or
10	control" if it does not exist.
11	3. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as they are vague,
12 13	ambiguous. overly broad, unduly burdensome, or use terms that are subject to multiple
14	interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these data
15	requests. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will
16	be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
17	4. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it is not
18 19	reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant
20	to the subject matter of this proceeding.
21	5. ENSTAR objects to providing information to the extent such information
22	is already a matter of public record. The requesting party is not entitled to require other
23	parties to gather information that is equally available and accessible to it.
24	
25	
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to MEA-3-5 and 3-6 per U-16-066(13) June 1, 2017 Page 2 of 8

1	6. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it seeks	
2	documents or information protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product	
3	privilege. Nothing contained in these responses is intended as, or shall in any way be	
4	deemed, a waiver of any such privilege or protection, or any other applicable privilege	
5	or doctrine.	
6 7	7. ENSTAR objects to the instructions contained in MEA-3. In responding	
8	to the requests, ENSTAR will abide by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska's	
9	("RCA") discovery regulations and where applicable, Alaska Rules of Civil Procedure.	
10	CONFIDENTIAL DISCOVERY RESPONSE	
11	MEA-3-5: Regarding responses to MEA-2-11 (control of confidential	
12		
13	information) and MEA-2-12a (list of confidential shipper data and ENSTAR employees	
14	who are privy to the data).	
15	a. Provide an organization chart showing all of the positions listed in the	
16 17	response to MEA-2-12a.	
18	b. Provide all documents that describe the ENSTAR policies that prescribe	
19	that only the specifically identified employees are privy to the identified confidential	
20	data.	
21	c. Provide all documents that describe the ENSTAR operational procedures	
22	that ensure that these, and only these, employees access the identified confidential data.	
23	d. Provide all documents identifying the "strict IT controls" on access to	
24	confidential information.	
25		
26	U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to MEA-3-5 and 3-6 per U-16-066(13) June 1, 2017 Page 3 of 8	

1	e. Provide all training materials used to inform or educate employees of
2	these policies.
3	Response:
4	In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this entire request
5	because it seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the
6 7	discovery of admissible evidence. ENSTAR's procedures and practices regarding
8	treatment of confidential information have no bearing on the rate issues to be decided in
9	this case.
10	Subject to and without waiving these objections, with respect to subpart (a), the
11	
12	most recent public organization chart is available with ENSTAR's Annual Report on
13	file with the Commission.
14	Supplemental Response:
15	(b) ENSTAR operates under the Section 10.3 User Access Guidelines (See
16	ENS08245-ENS08270) of the IT Governance Manual. Pursuant to this manual, "Access
17	shall be restricted to allow only those permissions which are appropriate to each
18 19	worker's job duties." ENSTAR IT is currently in process of defining a formal Access
20	Control/User Account Management Policy and this policy will echo what is currently
21	performed in requirements of the IT Governance Manual.
22	In order for employees to access to their areas' specific applications and data
23	located within company systems, the management of the relevant department must
24	authorize access. When a new employee is hired or transferred, a request is generated.
25	
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to MEA-3-5 and 3-6 per U-16-066(13) June 1, 2017 Page 4 of 8

1IT will grant access to the employee upon this authorization. When an employee upon this authorization. These are perployed to annual General Computing Controls audits. These are perployee upon this authorization audits. These are perployee upon this authorization. These are perployee upon this authorization audits. These are perployee upon this authorization: These are perployee upon this authorization.6by internal and external auditors to ensure compliance with accepted practice company guidelines.7company guidelines.8(c) Please see (b) above9(d) Please see (b) above9(d) Please see (b) above10Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims.11Implying Information: John Sims.12Implying Information: John Sims.13MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C14Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information.16Response:17In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request be seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C19Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in	to all		
 a company systems including files, applications and email systems. IT is subject to annual General Computing Controls audits. These are per by internal and external auditors to ensure compliance with accepted practice company guidelines. (c) Please see (b) above (d) Please see (b) above Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims. MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. Response: In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in this case. 			
a IT is subject to annual General Computing Controls audits. These are per by internal and external auditors to ensure compliance with accepted practice company guidelines. 8 (c) Please see (b) above 9 (d) Please see (b) above 9 (d) Please see (b) above 10 Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims. 11 Image: State of the state of th	ormed		
IT is subject to annual General Computing Controls audits. These are per by internal and external auditors to ensure compliance with accepted practice company guidelines. (c) Please see (b) above (d) Please see (b) above (e) Please see (b) above (f) Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims. (f) MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, Classion of the section C. Confidential Information. (f) Response: (f) In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request beclase seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disconation admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the Classion of the section of t	ormed		
6by internal and external auditors to ensure compliance with accepted practice company guidelines.8(c) Please see (b) above9(d) Please see (b) above10Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims.111213MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C14Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of15"need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information.16Response:17In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed18seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco20admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C21Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing22rate issues to be decided in this case.			
7company guidelines.8(c) Please see (b) above9(d) Please see (b) above10Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims.111213MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C14Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of15"need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information.16Response:17In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed18seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco20admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C21Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing22rate issues to be decided in this case.	by internal and external auditors to ensure compliance with accepted practices and		
 8 (c) Please see (b) above 9 (d) Please see (b) above 10 Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims. 11 12 MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C) 14 Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of 15 "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. 16 Response: 17 In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed 18 seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco 20 admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C 21 Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing 22 rate issues to be decided in this case. 			
10 Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims. 11 12 12 MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C 14 Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate c 15 "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. 16 Response: 17 In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed 18 seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco 20 admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C 21 Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing 22 rate issues to be decided in this case.			
Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims. 11 MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, O 13 MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, O 14 Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of 14 Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of 15 "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. 16 Response: 17 In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed 18 seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco 20 admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the O 21 Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing 22 rate issues to be decided in this case.			
11 MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C 13 MEA-3-6: Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C 14 Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate c 15 "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. 16 Response: 17 In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed 18 seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco 20 admissible evidence. 21 Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing 22 rate issues to be decided in this case.			
MEA-3-6:Regarding response to MEA-2-12b (ENS06924-ENS06928, C14Business Ethics).Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate c15"need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information.16Response:17In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed18seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco19admissible evidence.20Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing21Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing22rate issues to be decided in this case.			
 Business Ethics). Provide all documents or training materials that elaborate of "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. <u>Response:</u> In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the O Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in this case. 	nde of		
 "need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information. <u>Response:</u> In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in this case. 			
16Response:17In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed18seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco19admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the O20Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing22rate issues to be decided in this case.			
 17 In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed 18 seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco 20 admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the O 21 Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing 22 rate issues to be decided in this case. 	"need to know" is interpreted as used in section C. Confidential Information.		
In addition to its General Objections, ENSTAR objects to this request bed seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disco admissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in this case.	Response:		
 seeks information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the disconadmissible evidence. ENSTAR's interpretation of a specific provision in the C Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in this case. 			
 Business Ethics regarding treatment of confidential information has no bearing rate issues to be decided in this case. 	use it		
rate issues to be decided in this case.			
Tate issues to be decided in this case.	ery of		
23	ery of ode of		
	ery of ode of		
24	ery of ode of		
25	ery of ode of		
26 U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to MEA-3-5 and 3-6 per U-16-066(13) June 1, 2017 Page 5 of 8	ery of ode of		

I	Supplemental Response: In addition to COBE policies, users are given basic	
2	information security training that includes Access Control practices on an annual basis.	
3	Please see ENS08271 showing the completion report of this training in 2017.	
4		
5	Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims.	
6		
7		
8	DATED this 1st day of June, 2017.	
9	By: Cm K. Suitz	
10	Moira K. Smith	
11	Vice President and General Counsel Alaska Bar No. 0805032	
12	P.O. Box 190288	
13	Anchorage, AK 99519-0288 Phone: (907) 334-7662	
14	Fax: (907) 334-7657	
15	moira.smith@enstarnaturalgas.com	
16	Matthew C. Henry Texas Bar No. 00790870	
17	Myles F. Reynolds	
18	Texas Bar No. 24033002 Vinson & Elkins LLP	
19	2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75201	
20	Phone: (214) 220-7873	
21	Fax: (214) 999-7873 mhenry@velaw.com	
22	mreynolds@yelaw.com	
23		
24		
25		
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to MEA-3-5 and 3-6 per U-16-066(13) June 1, 2017 Page 6 of 8	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on June 1, 2017 a true and	Tara Kaushik
2	correct copy of ENSTAR's Confidential Response to MEA-3-5 and MEA-3-6 Pursuant	Holland & Knight LLP 601 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 700
3	to U-16-066(13) was served via e-mail on the	Anchorage, AK 99501
4	following:	tara.kaushik@hklaw.com Representing: Chugach Electric Association,
5	Daniel M. Dieckgraeff Enstar Natural Gas Company	Inc.
6	3000 Spenard Road Anchorage, AK 99519	Arthur Miller Chugach Electric Association, Inc.
	dan.dieckgraeff@enstarnaturalgas.com Representing: Enstar	PO Box 196760 Anchorage, AK 99519-6760
7	David W. Pease	arthur_miller@chugachelectric.com Representing: Chugach Electric Association,
8	Burr, Pease & Kurtz, PC	Inc.
9	810 N Street, Suite 300 Anchorage, AK 99501	Mark K. Johnson
10	david.pease@mea.coop Representing: Matanuska Electric Association	Chugach Electric Association, Inc. PO Box 196760
11	Pamela J. Anderson	Anchorage, AK 99519-6760 Mark_Johnson@chugachelectric.com
12	Perkins Coie LLP - Washington 10885 NE 4th Street, Suite 700	Representing: Chugach Electric Association, Inc.
13	Bellevue, WA 98004 pjanderson@perkinscoie.com	Robin O. Brena
14	Representing: Homer Electric Association, Inc. and AEEC	Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C. 810 N Street, Suite 100
15	Stephanie Regenold	Anchorage, AK 99501 rbrena@brenalaw.com
16	Perkins Coie LLP - Washington	Representing: JL Properties, Inc.
17	10885 NE 4th Street, Suite 700 Bellevue, WA 98004	Anthony S. Guerriero
18	sregenold@perkinscoie.com Representing: Homer Electric Association, Inc.	Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C. 810 N Street, Suite 100
19	and AEEC	Anchorage, AK 99501 aguerriero@brenalaw.com
20	Mark L. Figura Rose & Figura PC	Representing: JL Properties, Inc.
21	1207 West Eigth Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501	William Riley Snell JL Properties, Inc.
	mfigura@acsalaska.net Representing: Titan Alaska LNG, LLC	PO Box 202845 Anchorage, AK 99520
22		rsnell@jlproperties.com Representing: JL Properties, Inc.
23		
24		(Court d)
25		(Cont'd.)
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR'S Response to MEA-3-5 and June 1, 2017 Page 7 of 8	MEA-3-6 per U-16-066(13)
1	I	

1		
	Paul J. Jones	
2	Kemppel, Huffman and Ellis, P.C.	Myles F. Reynolds Vinson & Elkins LLP
	255 East Fireweed Lane, Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99503	2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700
3	pjj@khe.com	Dallas, TX 75201
4	Representing: Municipal Light & Power	mreynolds@velaw.com
	Deen D Thempson	Representing: Enstar
5	Dean D. Thompson Kemppel, Huffman and Ellis, P.C.	Dan Britton
6	255 East Fireweed Lane, Suite 200	Fairbanks Natural Gas
Ŭ	Anchorage, AK 99503	3408 International Way
7	ddt@khe.com	Fairbanks, AK 99701 dwbritton@fngas.com
	Representing: Municipal Light & Power	Representing: Titan Alaska LNG, LLC
8	Matthew T. Findley	
9	Ashburn & Mason, P.C.	Lee Baxter
	1227 West Ninth Avenue, Suite 200	Holland & Knight LLP 601 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 700
10	Anchorage, AK 99501 mtf@anchorlaw.com	Anchorage, AK 99501
11	Representing: Enstar	lee.baxter@hklaw.com
~		Representing: Chugach Electric Assn, Inc.
12	Melody Nardin Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C.	Mikel Salzetti
12	810 N Street, Suite 100	Homer Electric Association
13	Anchorage, AK 99501	3977 Lake Street
14	mnardin@brenalaw.com	Homer, AK 99603
	Representing: JL Properties	msalzetti@homerelectric.com Representing: Homer Electric Association, Inc.
15	Moira Smith	and AEEC
16	Enstar Natural Gas Company	
	PO Box 190288	Ronald L. Cliff Highcliff Energy Services Ltd.
17	Anchorage, AK 99519 moira.smith@enstarnaturalgas.com	2920 Highbury Street
18	Representing: Enstar	Vancouver, BC V6R 3T8
10		ron@highcliff.ca
19	Matthew C. Henry Vinson & Elkins LLP	Representing: Titan Alaska LNG, LLC
20	2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700	Tina Torrey
20	Dallas, TX 75201	Kemppel, Huffman and Ellis, P.C.
21	mhenry@velaw.com	255 East Fireweed Lane, Suite 200
	Representing: Enstar	Anchorage, AK 99503 tina@khe.com
22		
23		
		Apelsea Guinti
24		Chelsea Guintu
25		
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR'S Response to MEA-3-5 a	nd MEA-3-6 per U-16-066(13)
	June 1, 2017	
	Page 8 of 8	
<i>.</i>		