STATE OF ALASKA

THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA

Before Commissioners:

Robert M. Pickett, Chairman Stephen McAlpine
Rebecca L. Pauli
Norman Rokeberg
Janis W. Wilson

In the Matter of the Tariff Revision Designated)
as TA285-4 Filed by ENSTAR NATURAL GAS)
COMPANY, A DIVISION OF SEMCO)
ENERGY, INC.

ENSTAR NATURAL GAS COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S FIRST SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS ON ENSTAR'S REPLY TESTIMONY (AG-ENSTAR-RI)

Pursuant to 3 AAC 48.155 and 3 AAC 48.141-145, ENSTAR Natural Gas Company ("ENSTAR") hereby provides its response to the Attorney General's First Set of Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony ("AG-ENSTAR-R1"), as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Discovery in this docket is not complete. As discovery proceeds, facts, information, evidence, documents, and other matters may be discovered which are not set forth in these responses, but which may be responsive to these discovery requests. The following responses are complete based on ENSTAR's current knowledge, information, and belief. Furthermore, these responses were prepared based on

U-16-066 - ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony

May 8, 2017 Page 1 of 96

Regulatory Commission of Alaska

By: 0-16-066

Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc.

(907) 337-2221

ENSTAR's good faith interpretation of the discovery requests and are subject to correction for inadvertent errors or omissions, if any.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. ENSTAR objects to requests for documents relating to confidential settlement negotiations. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
- 2. ENSTAR objects to the production of documents, calculations, and analyses that do not exist. A document is not within a party's "possession, custody, or control" if it does not exist.
- 3. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as they are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, or use terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these data requests. Any and all answers ENSTAR provides in response to these data requests will be provided subject to, and without waiving, this objection.
- 4. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding.
- 5. ENSTAR objects to providing information to the extent such information is already a matter of public record. The requesting party is not entitled to require other parties to gather information that is equally available and accessible to it.

- 6. ENSTAR objects to each and every data request insofar as it seeks documents or information protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product privilege. Nothing contained in these responses is intended as, or shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of any such privilege or protection, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine.
- 7. ENSTAR objects to the instructions contained in AG-ENSTAR-R-1. In responding to the requests, ENSTAR will abide by the Regulatory Commission of Alaska's ("RCA") discovery regulations and where applicable, Alaska Rules of Civil Procedure.

DISCOVERY RESPONSES

AG-ENSTAR-R1-1. Refer to the attached document titled "AltaGas; AltaGas to acquire WGL Holdings, Enhancing a leading, North American diversified energy infrastructure company, dated January 25, 2017 (computer file named 2017-01-25 – Pr Blue Jay Rollout deck (1PM MT) – Final_rfs.pdf).

- (a) Admit that the document is a true and accurate copy.
- (b) If the response to subpart (a) is anything other than an unqualified admission of the truth of the matter asserted, provide a true and accurate copy of the document.
 - (c) Admit that this document is a presentation to AltaGas shareholders.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 3 of 96

1	(b) See the response to subpart (a) above.
2	(c) See the response to subpart (a) above.
3	(d) See the response to subpart (a) above.
4	(e) See the response to subpart (a) above.
5	Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims.
7	
8	AG-ENSTAR-R1-39. Refer to the prefiled reply testimony of John D. Sims
9	at 9 that states in part: "As a threshold matter, SEMCO must satisfy an earnings before
10	interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") requirement before any
11	incentive compensation is funded." Refer to document produced by ENSTAR in
12	discovery ENS07450.
13	(a) The document ENS07450 in the upper table, left column, states: "Metric,
14	EBITDA." Does this represent the threshold Mr. Sims refers to?
16	
17	
18	(c) If the response to subpart (a) is affirmative, is the EBITDA based solely
19	on SEMCO's EBITDA?
20	(d) If the response to subpart (b) is negative, explain.
21	(e) Identify the company/individuals that set the amount of the threshold
22	EBITDA.
23	(f) Explain how the threshold EBITDA is determined (e.g., is formulaic)?
25	Response:
26	U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017 Page 65 of 96

- (a) Yes.
- (b) See the response to subpart (a) above.
- (c) The EBITDA metric is based on the results of SEMCO Inc., of which ENSTAR is a division.
- (d) See the response to subpart (c) above.
- (e) The threshold is set by a committee consisting of management of SEMCO Inc. and AltaGas Ltd. The Committee recommends the threshold, which is then sent to the AltaGas Board of Directors for approval.
- (f) The threshold is formulaically determined by combining various targets as stated in the plan year (i.e., a combination of operating income, depreciation, non-operating income/expense, and various other adjustments related to AltaGas (management fees, etc.)).

Person(s) Supplying Information: John Sims.

AG-ENSTAR-R1-40. Refer to the prefiled reply testimony of John D. Sims, at 11 discussing incentive pay company-wide categories and at line 16 discussing leak response time and abandon call rate.

- (a) Please explain how each employee who received a bonus in 2015 responded to an actual leak.
- (b) Please explain how each employee receiving a bonus in 2015 responded to actual calls from customers.

U-16-066 – ENSTAR's Response to AG's First Discovery Requests on ENSTAR's Reply Testimony May 8, 2017
Page 66 of 96