14 21 22 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

26

STATE OF ALASKA

THE REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ALASKA

Before Commissioners:	Stephen McAlpine, Chairman Rebecca L. Pauli Robert M. Pickett Norman Rokeberg Janis W. Wilson
In the Matter of the Request Filed by the MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE d/b/a MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER DEPARTMENT for Approval to Establish Depreciation Rates))) U-16-094
In the Matter of the Tarilf Revision Designated as TA357-121 Filed by the MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE d/b/a MUNICIPAL LIGHT & POWER DEPARTMENT))))) U-17-008

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT S. MUDGE

I, Robert S. Mudge, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and state as follows:

- I am currently a Principal of the Brattle Group. I was hired to be an expert witness for the Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light & Power Department ("ML&P") in this matter. I filed direct and reply testimonies on the topic of rate stabilization in this proceeding.
- 2. I have reviewed the Office of the Attorney General, Regulatory Affairs & Public Advocacy Section's ("RAPA's") Motion for Partial Summary Disposition filed in this Docket. RAPA asks the Commission to rule that ML&P's rate stabilization plan ("RSP") is unjust or unreasonable in its entirety. In support of its motion, RAPA cites to portions of my prefiled direct testimony and exhibits in this docket for the proposition that "the [RSP] is unjust

Date: 1/20/17 Exh # H-7 Regulatory Commission of Alaska U-16-094 By: APS U-17-008 Northern Lights Realtime & Reporting, Inc. (907) 337-2221

November 6, 2017 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT 1 Page 1 of 5

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

embedded in the GLH proposal¹⁰ and addressed this issue explicitly, clarifying that a scenario such as that suggested by RAPA would not affect the proposed RSP, as follows:

> Please note that ML&P is not seeking treatment more advantageous to itself than if rate stabilization were not implemented. Accordingly, to the degree realized Capital Recovery Charges are less than the Revenue Requirement because of lower than expected retail load, the corresponding portion of the difference would not be added to the balance in the Rate Stabilization Account.11

In addition to ML&P's intent, above, it is relatively less likely that ML&P would face a scenario such as that suggested by RAPA in any case. Unlike GLH, ML&P forecasts de minimus electric load growth of 0.04 percent per year. 12

8. I will be available as an expert witness in the upcoming hearing if the Commission desires or needs further clarification on any of my testimony or exhibits.

[FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAITH NAUGHT].

Robert S. Mudge

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 6 day of November 2017

NOTARY-PUBLICUT and for

My commission expires:

Mudge direct testimony at 49.

11 (d. at 45. 12 Equity Management Plan corresponding to Exhibit 12 to TA357-121.

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT S. MUDGE Dockets U-16-094/U-17-008 November 6, 2017 Page 5 of 5

EXHIBIT 1 Page 5 of 5